arXiv:hep-th/9407047v4 31 Oct 1994

SPHERICAL FUNCTIONS ON AFFINE LIE GROUPS

Pavel I. Etingof, Igor B.Frenkel,
Alexander A. Kirillov, Jr.

Department of Mathematics
Yale University
New Haven, CT 06520, USA
e-mail: etingof@math.yale.edu, kirillov@math.yale.edu

July 7, 1994
hep-th 9407047

Introduction

By spherical functions one usually means functions on the double coset space $K\backslash G/K$, where G is a group and K is a subgroup of G. This is equivalent to considering functions on the homogeneous space G/K left invariant with respect to K. More generally, if V is a fixed irreducible representation of K, for example, finite-dimensional, one can look at functions on G/K whose left shifts by elements of K span a space which is isomorphic to V as a K-module. Consideration of such functions is equivalent to consideration of functions on G/K with values in the dual representation V^* which are equivariant with respect to the left action of K. In this (and even more general) framework spherical functions were studied in the works of Harish-Chandra, Helgason and other authors [HC,He,W].

In the classical theory of spherical functions, G is often a real non-compact Lie group, and K is a maximal compact subgroup of G. In this case, G/K is a non-compact symmetric space. One can also consider an associated compact symmetric space G_c/K, where G_c is a compact form of G. An important class of examples is complex semisimple groups considered as real groups. In this case $G_c = K \times K$, and K is embedded diagonally into G. The study of K-equivariant functions on G/K is then equivalent to the study of functions on K itself equivariant with respect to conjugacy. This problem makes sense for an arbitrary group K, and it turns out that equivariant functions can be explicitly constructed as traces of certain intertwining operators. In this paper we describe such functions in two cases – K is a compact simple Lie group, and K is an affine Lie group (i.e. an infinite-dimensional group whose Lie algebra is an affine Lie algebra).

The results concerning the compact group case are given in Chapter 1. For a compact Lie group K and a pair W, V of irreducible finite-dimensional representations of K we consider an intertwining operator $\Phi : W \to W \otimes V^*$ and associate with it the function $\Psi(x) = \mathrm{Tr}|_W(\Phi x)$. This function takes values in V^* and is

Typeset by AMS-TEX

equivariant with respect to conjugacy, and the Peter-Weyl theorem implies that all equivariant functions can be written as linear combinations of such functions.

The next step is computation of the radial parts of the Laplace operators of K acting on conjugacy equivariant functions. This means, rewriting these operators in terms of the coordinates on the set of conjugacy classes, which is the maximal torus in K. The result is a completely integrable quantum system with matrix coefficients – a set of r commuting differential operators in r variables symmetric with respect to the Weyl group, where r is the rank of K. In a special case, this system coincides with the trigonometric Calogero-Sutherland-Moser multi-particle system ([C,S,OP]). This allows to express eigenfunctions of this system, in particular, Jack's symmetric functions, in terms of traces of intertwining operators [E,EK2,ES].

These results basically fit into the framework of the classical theory of spherical functions on symmetric spaces, and are formulated mostly for the purpose of motivation. Most of them can be deduced from the classical results of Harish-Chandra and Helgason [HC,He].

The results concerning the affine case are given in Chapter 2. We study holomorphic functions on a complex affine Lie group taking values in an irreducible finite-dimensional representation of this group and equivariant with respect to (twisted) conjugacy. We prove that the space of equivariant functions having a fixed homogeneity degree with respect to the action of the center of the group is finite-dimensional. We show that this space can be nontrivial only for positive integer values of the degree, and in this case it coincides with a certain space of intertwining operators between representations of the affine Lie algebra, (cf. [TK],[FR]). This is done by constructing a basis of the space of equivariant functions consisting of weighted traces of the intertwining operators. These functions are affine analogues of the functions $\Psi(x)$ defined above for the compact group.

We compute the radial part of the second order Laplace operator on the affine Lie group acting on equivariant functions, and find that it is a certain parabolic partial differential operator. Weighted traces of intertwiners form an eigenbasis for this operator in the space of equivariant functions. In a special case, they coincide (up to a certain factor) with the affine Jack's polynomials which were defined in [EK3].

At the critical value of the degree (minus the dual Coxeter number of the underlying simple Lie algebra) there exist higher order Laplace operators coming from the corresponding central elements of the completed universal enveloping algebra of the affine Lie algebra ([Ma,H,GW2,FF]). All these operators commute with each other, and their radial parts form a commutative system of differential operators with elliptic coefficients. In a special case, this system coincides with the elliptic Calogero-Sutherland-Moser multi-particle system ([OP]). In particular, for the affine Lie group $\widetilde{SL}_2$, we obtain the classical Lame operator [WW]. This shows that the classical Lame polynomials (eigenfunctions of the Lame operator) "live" on the group $\widetilde{SL}_2$, in the same sense as Legendre and Gegenbauer polynomials "live" on the group $SU(2)$.

When the elliptic modulus t goes to ∞ (the elliptic curve degenerates to the rational curve), the theory of spherical functions on the affine group degenerates to the theory of spherical functions on the corresponding compact group. At the level of formulas, this reduces to replacing elliptic functions by their trigonometric

limits. In particular, instead of the elliptic version of the Calogero-Sutherland-Moser system one obtains its trigonometric version.

In Chapter 3 we explain the connection between conjugacy classes of an affine Lie group and holomorphic principal bundles, and give proofs of the results of Chapter 2.

We would like to emphasize that in the case of an affine Lie group classical notions of the theory of spherical functions and Laplace operators correspond to important objects in quantum field theory. In particular, our results show that the space of equivariant functions on an affine Lie group of a fixed degree coincides with the space of conformal blocks of the Wess-Zumino-Witten conformal field theory on an elliptic curve with one or several punctures (cf. [MS]), or, equivalently, with the space of states of the Chern-Simons topological field theory in genus 1 [FG]. This provides a group-theoretic realization of the modular functor for elliptic curves (cf. [Se]). Also, it turns out that the the radial part of the second order Laplace operator on an affine Lie group acting in the space of equivariant functions coincides with the operator defining the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov connection on conformal blocks on elliptic curves, and its eigenfunctions coincide with the correlation functions of conformal blocks (cf. [Be],[FG],[EK1]).

It is worth mentioning that the computation of the radial part of Laplace operators for the compact and affine case is the quantum analogue of the infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian reduction procedure which allows to obtain classical finite-dimensional integrable systems of Calogero type from infinite-dimensional systems. This procedure was first described in [KKS] for the simple group and simple Lie algebra case, and later generalized to the loop and double loop case in [GN1],[GN2].

Finally, we would like to remark that the results of this paper can be generalized to the case of quantum groups and quantum affine algebras. This amounts to a systematic study of traces of intertwining operators for these algebras. Results in this direction, connecting the traces with Macdonald's symmetric functions and their generalizations, were obtained in [EK3,EK4]. The recently introduced notion of a quantum symmetric space (see, e.g. [N], and references therein) allows to give a "q-geometric" interpretation of these results, i.e. in terms of the theory of "q-spherical functions". This is a subject of future research.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank K.Gawedzki, I.Grojnowski, Yu.Neretin and G.Zuckerman for useful discussions. The authors are grateful to G.Felder for correcting some errors in the first version of this paper.

The work of P.E. was supported by Alfred P.Sloan graduate dissertation fellowship. The work of I.F. was supported by the NSF grant DMS-9400908.

1. Spherical functions

1.1. Spherical functions on groups.

Let K be a group. Let K_d be the diagonal subgroup in $K \times K$: $K_d = \{(k,k) \in K \times K | k \in K\}$. Consider the symmetric space $X_K = K \times K/K_d$. It is isomorphic to K as a set: the isomorphism is given by $(k,l) \to kl^{-1}$. Under this map, the left action of $K \times K$ on X_K is transformed into the two-sided action of K on itself, and the action of K_d on X_K becomes the action of K on itself by conjugacy.

Definition 1.1A. *A function $f : X_K \to \mathbb{C}$ is called spherical if the vector space*

V_f spanned by the functions $f^g(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$, $x \in X_K$, for all $g \in K_d$, is finite-dimensional.

Since X_K is isomorphic to K itself, this definition is equivalent to

Definition 1.1B. *A function $f : K \to \mathbb{C}$ is called spherical if the vector space V_f spanned by the functions $f^g(y) = f(g^{-1}yg)$, $y \in K$, for all $g \in K$, is finite-dimensional.*

We will use Definition 1.1B unless otherwise specified.

If f is a spherical function then the space V_f is naturally a representation of K.

Definition 1.2. *Let V be a finite-dimensional representation of K. A spherical function f is of type V if V_f is isomorphic to V. In particular, f is called a central function (or a class function) if $V_f = \mathbb{C}$ is the trivial representation.*

Suppose that $f : X_K \to \mathbb{C}$ is a spherical function of type V. We assume that V_f is somehow identified with V. Let $f_1, ..., f_n$ be a basis of V_f, and let $f^1, ..., f^n$ be the dual basis of V_f^*. We can regard $f^1, ..., f^n$ as vectors in V^*. Define a vector-valued function $\Psi_f(x) \in V^*$:

$$\Psi_f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(x) f^i. \tag{1.1}$$

It is clear that Ψ_f is determined uniquely by the space of functions V_f and its identification with V.

Definition 1.3. *Let V be a representation of K. A function $\Psi : K \to V^*$ is called (conjugacy) equivariant if $g^{-1}\Psi(gxg^{-1}) = \Psi(x)$, $g \in K$.*

The following elementary proposition describes the properties of Ψ_f and the connection between f and Ψ_f.

Proposition 1.1.

(i) Ψ_f is equivariant.

(ii) Let V be an irreducible representation of K, and $\Psi : K \to V^*$ be a nonzero equivariant function. Then for any nonzero vector $v \in V$ the function $f(x) = \langle v, \Psi(x)\rangle$ is spherical of type V.

(iii) Any spherical function of type V can be written as $\langle v, \Psi(x)\rangle$, where $v \in V$ and Ψ is equivariant (Ψ can be chosen to be Ψ_f). If V is irreducible then $v, \Psi(x)$ are determined uniquely by f up to a factor; in particular, $\Psi(x) = \Psi_f(x)$ for a suitable identification $V_f \to V$.

(iv) If every finite-dimensional representation of K is semisimple (e.g. K is a finite group or a compact Lie group) then any spherical function is a sum of spherical functions of irreducible types.

This proposition shows that in order to understand spherical functions on K (with respect to conjugacy), it is enough to understand equivariant functions $\Psi : K \to V^*$, where V is finite-dimensional. Therefore, from now on we will mostly work with equivariant functions.

A typical example of a conjugacy invariant function on K is a character of an irreducible finite-dimensional representation of K. Similarly, an example of a vector-valued conjugacy equivariant function is a "vector-valued character". Namely, let

V, W be finite-dimensional representations of K. Let $\Phi : W \to W \otimes V^*$ be an intertwining operator. Consider the function $\Psi : K \to V^*$ given by

$$\Psi(x) = \mathrm{Tr}|_W(\Phi x). \tag{1.2}$$

It is easy to see that this function is equivariant. If W is irreducible and $V = \mathbb{C}$ then Φ is proportional to the identity and thus Ψ is proportional to the character of W. In general it is natural to call Ψ a vector-valued character.

1.2. Spherical functions on finite and compact groups.

Let K be a finite group or a compact Lie group. Then the characters of irreducible representations of K are pairwise orthogonal and span the space of conjugacy invariant functions. A similar statement holds for vector-valued characters. Namely, let W be an irreducible representation of K, and let $S(V, W)$ be the space of functions spanned by the functions (1.2) for all choices of Φ. The correspondence $\Phi \to f$ given by (1.2) is a bijection, thus the space $S(V, W)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}_K(W \otimes W^*, V^*)$.

Let $K^\wedge$ denote the set of irreducible finite-dimensional representations of K.

Since V is a finite-dimensional representation, it is unitarizable, so there exists a natural Hermitian inner product $(,)$ on L^2-functions from K to V^*.

Proposition 1.2.

(i) (Weyl orthogonality) The spaces $S(V, W)$ are pairwise orthogonal with respect to $(,)$ for $W \in K^\wedge$.

(ii) (Maschke-Peter-Weyl theorem) Let $F^V(K)$ be the space of all conjugacy equivariant L^2-functions $\Psi : K \to V^*$. Then $F^V(K) = \oplus_{W \in K^\wedge} S(V, W)$. Thus, $F^V(K)$ is isomorphic to $Hom_K(H, V^*)$, where $H = \oplus_{W \in K^\wedge} W \otimes W^*$ (in the Lie group case the direct sum and Hom should be understood in in L^2-sense).

1.3. Laplace operators on a compact Lie group.

Now let K be a compact simply connected simple Lie group. Let us consider Laplace operators on K.

Let $\mathfrak{k}$ be the Lie algebra of K, and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be its complexification. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Cartan subalgebra in $\mathfrak{g}$. We identify $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^*$ by means of the invariant form on $\mathfrak{g}$ in which long roots satisfy $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2$.

To every element Y in the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ there corresponds a left-invariant differential operator D_Y on K (with complex coefficients). This operator is also right invariant iff $Y \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$, where $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Thus, to any element $Y \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ there corresponds a differential operator $D_Y : F^V(K) \to F^V(K)$ for any V, and $[D_{Y_1}, D_{Y_2}] = 0$ for $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$. These operators satisfy the following obvious property:

Proposition 1.3. *The spaces $S(V, W) \subset F^V(K)$ are eigenspaces of D_Y with eigenvalues $Y|_W = \chi(Y)(\lambda_W)$, where $\chi : \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \to U(\mathfrak{h})$ is the Harish-Chandra homomorphism, and $\lambda_W \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is the highest weight of W.*

This is a vector-valued version of the well known fact that characters of irreducible representations of K are eigenfunctions of the Laplace operators.

1.4. Radial parts of Laplace operators.

A beautiful application of this construction is the theory of radial part of Laplace operators. The main idea is that an equivariant function is uniquely defined by its

restriction to the maximal torus $T \subset K$, since any element of K can be brought into T by a conjugation. Moreover, the restriction of an equivariant function to T has to take values in the zero weight space. Therefore, the differential operator D_Y can be written in terms of the coordinates along T, and the resulting expression is a differential operator with coefficients in $\mathrm{End}V^*[0]$. We call this expression the radial part of D_Y on $F^V(K)$ and denote it $R_V(Y)$.

Let R be the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$, W be the Weyl group, R^+ be the set of positive roots. Let Q^+ be the semigroup generated by positive roots (including 0). Let $\rho = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \alpha$. Let P be the lattice of integral weights, P^+ be the set of dominant integral weights. Let $\delta(h) = \prod_{\alpha \in R^+}(e^{\langle \alpha, h\rangle/2} - e^{-\langle \alpha, h\rangle/2})$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, be the Weyl denominator. Let $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ be the Lie algebra of T. Let e_α, f_α be the root vectors corresponding to the roots α and $-\alpha$ such that $\langle e_\alpha, f_\alpha\rangle = 1$. Let $Y_1, ..., Y_r$ ($r = \mathrm{rank}(K)$) be generators of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let Y_1 be the Casimir element $Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} a_i^2$, where a_i is an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\langle , \rangle$. Let M_λ be the Verma module, and N_λ be any highest weight module over the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with highest weight λ. Let $N_\lambda[\mu]$ denote the subspace of weight μ in N_λ. Let $(,)$ denote the contravariant form on N_λ. Let $\Phi : N_\lambda \to N_\lambda \otimes V^*$ be an intertwining operator for $\mathfrak{g}$.

The calculation of the radial part gives the following result.

Proposition 1.4.

(i) (Harish-Chandra) The radial parts $R_V(Y_1), ..., R_V(Y_r)$ are pairwise commutative differential operators in r variables whose symbols are the highest terms of the polynomials $\chi(Y_1), ..., \chi(Y_r)$.

(ii) (Harish-Chandra) The second order Laplace operator $\Delta = R_V(Y_1)$ has the form

(1.3)

$$(R_V(Y_1)\psi)(h) = \delta(h)^{-1}\left(\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}} - \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \frac{e_\alpha f_\alpha}{2\sinh^2(\langle \alpha, h\rangle/2)} - \langle \rho, \rho\rangle\right)(\psi(h)\delta(h)), h \in \mathfrak{t}$$

where $\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is the Laplace operator on $\mathfrak{h}$, and $\psi(h) = \tilde{\psi}(e^h), \tilde{\psi} \in F^V(K)$.

(iii) ([E],[ES]*) Set*

$$\Psi(h) = \mathrm{Tr}|_{N_\lambda}(\Phi e^h) = e^{\langle \lambda, h\rangle} \sum_{\alpha \in Q^+} \mathrm{Tr}|_{N_\lambda[\lambda - \alpha]}(\Phi) e^{-\langle \alpha, h\rangle}. \tag{1.4}$$

This series absolutely converges in the region $\{h \in \mathfrak{h} : Re\langle \alpha, h\rangle > 0, \alpha \in R^+\}$, and its sum takes values in $V^*[0]$ and is an analytic solution of the holonomic system of partial differential equations

$$R_V(Y_j)\Psi = \Lambda_j \Psi,\ \Lambda_j = \chi(Y_j)(\lambda),\ 1 \le j \le r \tag{1.5}$$

in this region.

In fact, for generic complex numbers $\Lambda_1, ..., \Lambda_r$ solutions of (1.5) given by (1.4) span the space of solutions of (1.5) with values in $V^*[0]$. Namely, let λ be such that the stabilizer of $\lambda + \rho$ in the Weyl group is the identity, and the Verma modules $M_{w(\lambda+\rho)-\rho}$ are irreducible for all $w \in W$. Let $m = \dim V^*[0]$. If M_μ is irreducible then $\dim(\mathrm{Hom}(M_\mu, M_\mu \otimes V^*)) = m$. Let $\Phi_j(\mu)$, $j = 1, ..., m$, be a basis of $\mathrm{Hom}(M_\mu, M_\mu \otimes V^*)$, and let $\Psi_{j\mu}(h) = \mathrm{Tr}|_{M_\mu}(\Phi_j(\mu)e^h)$.

Proposition 1.5. *(see* [E]*)*

(i) The functions $\Psi_{j\mu}$, $j = 1, .., m$, $\mu = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$, are linearly independent and span the space of $V^*[0]$-valued solutions of (1.5).

(ii) If M_μ is an irreducible module then for every $\Phi \in Hom(M_\mu, M_\mu \otimes V^*)$ the function $\Psi(h) = \mathrm{Tr}|_{M_\mu}(\Phi e^h)$ can be characterized as a solution of (1.5) of the form $e^{\langle \mu, h\rangle}\Psi_0(h)$, where Ψ_0 is analytic in the region $\{h : Re\langle \alpha, h\rangle > 0, \alpha \in R^+\}$ and tends to $(v_\mu, \Phi v_\mu)$ as $\langle \alpha, h\rangle \to +\infty$ for all $\alpha \in R^+$.

Remarks. 1. In the theory of radial part one does not essentially use the fact that V^* is a finite-dimensional representation of the group K. It is enough for V^* to to be any module over the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ such that the space $V^*[0]$ is finite-dimensional.

2. All the above results easily generalize to the case of an arbitrary compact Lie group. We are studying the special case of a simply connected simple group just for brevity.

The above results can be applied to the theory of quantum integrable systems and to the theory of symmetric polynomials, as follows.

1.5. Integrability of the Sutherland operator.

Let us fix $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the case $K = SU(n)$, $V^* = S^{(\ell-1)n}\mathbb{C}^n$. In this case, the space $V^*[0]$ is one-dimensional, so we assume that it is somehow identified with $\mathbb{C}$, by mapping $1 \in \mathbb{C}$ to $u_0 \in V^*[0]$. Then the operators $R_V(Y)$ can be regarded as scalar differential operators. It is convenient to realize $\mathfrak{h}$ as a subspace in $\mathbb{C}^n$ given by the equation $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$, and set $h = (x_1, ..., x_n)$. Since $e_\alpha f_\alpha|_{V^*[0]} = \ell(\ell-1)\mathrm{Id}$, $\alpha \in R^+$, and $\langle \rho, \rho\rangle = \frac{n^3-n}{12}$, the second order Laplacian (1.3) can be rewritten as follows:

$$R_V(Y_1) = \delta^{-1} \circ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} - \ell(\ell-1) \sum_{i<j} \frac{1}{2\sinh^2(\frac{x_i - x_j}{2})} - \frac{n^3-n}{12} \right) \circ \delta. \tag{1.6}$$

Up to conjugation by δ and addition of a constant, this is the Sutherland operator – the Hamiltonian of the quantum n-body problem on the line with trigonometric potential.

Definition 1.4. *Let D be a differential operator in n variables. A differential operator commuting with D is called a quantum integral of D. One says that D defines a completely integrable quantum Hamiltonian system if there exists a set of n algebraically independent differential operators $D_1, ..., D_n$ which commute with D. The system $D_1, ..., D_n$ is called a complete system of quantum integrals for D.*

Propositions 1.4,1.5 imply the following result:

Proposition 1.6.

(i) [OP] *The Sutherland operator*

$$D_\ell = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} - \ell(\ell-1) \sum_{i<j} \frac{1}{2sinh^2(\frac{x_i - x_j}{2})}$$

defines a completely integrable quantum Hamiltonian system.

(ii) [E] *The quantum integrals of the Sutherland operator are equal to* $\delta \circ R_V(Y_j) \circ \delta^{-1}$, $j = 1, .., r$. *The functions of the form* $\delta\Psi$, *where* Ψ *is given by (1.4), are common eigenfunctions of these quantum integrals. For generic eigenvalues, functions of this form span the space of common eigenfunctions.*

1.6. Jack's polynomials as spherical functions.

Let us now introduce Jack's polynomials. Consider the operator $\tilde{D}_\ell = \delta^{-\ell} \circ D_\ell \circ \delta^\ell$.

Proposition 1.7. [HO] *The operator* $\tilde{D}_\ell$ *maps the space* $\mathbb{C}[P]^W$ *of Weyl group invariant Laurent polynomials on the maximal torus into itself. Moreover, it is triangular with respect to the basis of orbitsums* $m_\lambda = \sum_{\nu \in W\lambda} e^{\langle \nu, h \rangle}$, $\lambda \in P^+$, *i.e.* $\tilde{D}_\ell m_\lambda = \langle \lambda + \ell\rho, \lambda + \ell\rho \rangle m_\lambda + \sum_{\nu < \lambda} c_{\lambda\nu} m_\nu$.

This proposition implies that one can uniquely define a W-invariant Laurent polynomial $J^\ell_\lambda = m_\lambda + \sum_{\nu<\lambda} s_{\lambda\nu} m_\nu$ by the condition $\tilde{D}_\ell J^\ell_\lambda = \langle \lambda + \ell\rho, \lambda + \ell\rho \rangle J^\ell_\lambda$. The polynomials J^ℓ_λ are called the Jack's polynomials. They have the following interpretation in terms of spherical functions.

Let L_λ be the irreducible highest weight representation of K corresponding to the dominant integral weight λ. The following statement is checked directly.

Lemma 1.8. *The space* $Hom_K(L_\mu, L_\mu \otimes V^*)$ *is one-dimensional if* $\lambda = \mu - (\ell-1)\rho \in P^+$ *and zero otherwise.*

Let $\Phi_\lambda : L_{\lambda+(\ell-1)\rho} \to L_{\lambda+(\ell-1)\rho} \otimes V^*$ be the intertwiner such that $(v_\lambda, \Phi v_\lambda) = u_0 \in V^*[0]$, $\lambda \in P^+$. Consider the conjugacy equivariant functions on K: $\Psi_\lambda(x) = \mathrm{Tr}|_{L_{\lambda+(\ell-1)\rho}}(\Phi_\lambda x)$. They belong to $\mathbb{C}[P]^W$.

Proposition 1.9. [EK4] *Let* $x = e^h \in T$. *Then, under the identification* $V^*[0]$ *with* $\mathbb{C}$*:*

(i) $\Psi_0(x) = \delta(h)^{\ell-1}$.

(ii) $\Psi_\lambda(x)$ *is divisible by* $\Psi_0(x)$ *in the algebra* $\mathbb{C}[P]^W$ *for any* $\lambda \in P^+$.

(iii) $\frac{\Psi_\lambda(x)}{\Psi_0(x)} = J^\ell_\lambda(x)$.

This together with Proposition 1.2 (ii) yields

Corollary 1.10. *(*[HO,Hec,O1,O2,M]*)*

(i) The Jack's polynomials are orthogonal: $\int_H |\delta(x)|^{2\ell} J^\ell_\lambda(x) J^\ell_\nu(x^{-1}) dx = 0$ *if* $\lambda \neq \nu$.

(ii) J^ℓ_λ *are eigenfunctions of the quantum integrals of the Sutherland operator with eigenvalues given by the Harish-Chandra homomorphism.*

(iii) The quantum integrals of $\tilde{D}_\ell$ *are self-adjoint with respect to the inner product* $(f, g) \to \int_H |\delta(x)|^{2\ell} f(x) g(x^{-1}) dx$.

2. Spherical functions on affine Lie groups: main results

2.1. Affine Lie algebras and groups.

Let G be a complex simply connected simple Lie group. Let K be a fixed maximal compact subgroup in G. K defines a real structure on G and thus an antilinear Cartan involution on the Lie algebra of G.

We denote by LG the group of holomorphic maps from $\mathbb{C}^*$ to G with pointwise multiplication. This group will be called the loop group of G. We denote by LK

the subgroup of LG consisting of all maps from $\mathbb{C}^*$ to G for which the image of the unit circle is in K.

Denote by $\hat{G}$ the universal central extension of LG. This is a one-dimensional extension by $\mathbb{C}^*$; this extension is a nontrivial holomorphic principal $\mathbb{C}^*$-bundle over LG whose first Chern class generates the group $H^2(LG,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ (see [PS]). Also, the group $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts on LG by $(q \circ g)(z) = g(q^{-1}z)$; we denote the semidirect product $\mathbb{C}^* \ltimes LG$ associated to this action by $\check{G}$. The semidirect product $\mathbb{C}^* \ltimes \hat{G}$ is denoted by $\tilde{G}$. This group will play the role of the compact Lie group considered in the previous Chapter.

Similarly, we define $\check{K} = S^1 \ltimes LK$, $\hat{K}$ – the central extension of LK by S^1, and $\tilde{K} = S^1 \ltimes \hat{K}$, as in [PS].

We will also use the Lie algebras of $G, LG, \hat{G}, \check{G}, \tilde{G}$, which are denoted by $\mathfrak{g}, L\mathfrak{g}, \hat{\mathfrak{g}}, \check{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. The Lie algebra $L\mathfrak{g}$ is called the loop algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. By definition, it consists of all holomorphic maps from $\mathbb{C}^*$ to $\mathfrak{g}$, with the pointwise commutator; the Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is $L\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d$, where

$$[c, a(z)] = [c, d] = 0,\ [d, a(z)] = za'(z),\ [a(z), b(z)] = [a, b](z) + \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|z|=1} \langle a'(z)b(z)\rangle dz \cdot c,$$

where $[ab]$ denotes the pointwise commutator of a and b, and $\langle , \rangle$ is the invariant form on $\mathfrak{g}$ normalized in such a way that long roots satisfy $\langle \alpha, \alpha\rangle = 2$. The Lie algebras $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ are defined by $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = L\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C}c \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\check{\mathfrak{g}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathbb{C}c$.

Let $L\mathfrak{g}_{pol}$ be the Lie subalgebra in $L\mathfrak{g}$ consisting of the loops in $L\mathfrak{g}$ expressed by $\mathfrak{g}$-valued Laurent polynomials in $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$. For $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $a[n] \in L\mathfrak{g}_{pol}$ be defined by $a[n](z) = z^n a$. If $\{a_i\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ then $\{a_i[n]\}$ is a basis of $L\mathfrak{g}_{pol}$. The corresponding extensions of $L\mathfrak{g}_{pol}$ are denoted by $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{pol}$, $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_{pol}$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{pol}$.

Let $\langle , \rangle$ denote the standard invariant form on $L\mathfrak{g}$:

$$\langle a(\cdot), b(\cdot)\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|z|=1} \langle a(z), b(z)\rangle \frac{dz}{z},$$

This form extends to an invariant form on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ by setting $\langle c, d\rangle = 1$, $\langle c, c\rangle = \langle d, d\rangle = 0$, $\langle c, a(z)\rangle = \langle d, a(z)\rangle = 0$.

The Lie algebras $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{pol}$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{pol}$ are affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras, or shortly, affine Lie algebras; therefore, we call $\hat{G}$, $\tilde{G}$ affine Lie groups.

2.2. Spherical and equivariant functions.

A direct application of the definition of a spherical function given in Section 1.1 to the case of affine Lie groups does not give an interesting result: there is no nontrivial examples. The reason for this is that the set of conjugacy classes in $\tilde{G}$ as a whole is geometrically unsatisfactory. However, parts of this set have a very good geometric structure, which suggests that there should be a good theory for spherical functions defined on conjugacy invariant subsets of $\tilde{G}$. We will see that such a theory indeed exists.

Let $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Denote by $\tilde{G}_q$ the subset in $\tilde{G}$ of elements of the form (q, g), $g \in \hat{G}$. This set is invariant under conjugation. We will study the case when $|q| < 1$.

The spherical functions we consider are holomorphic functions on $\tilde{G}_q$. Since $\tilde{G}_q$ is infinite-dimensional, we have to define what it means.

Definition 2.1.

(i) Let D be the unit disk in $\mathbb{C}$. We say that a map $\phi : D \to LG$ is holomorphic if the associated map $D \times \mathbb{C}^* \to G$ is a holomorphic function of two variables.

(ii) We say that a function $f : LG \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic if for any holomorphic map $\phi : D \to LG$ the function $f(\phi) : D \to \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function of one variable.

This allows one to define holomorphic functions on $\tilde{G}_q$ with values in a finite-dimensional complex vector space.

Definition 2.2.

(i) A holomorphic function $f : \tilde{G}_q \to \mathbb{C}$ is called spherical if the space V_f spanned by all the functions $f^g(y) = f(g^{-1}yg)$, $g \in \hat{G}$, is finite-dimensional.

(ii) f is called a central (class) function if $V_f = \mathbb{C}$ as a $\hat{G}$-module.

(iii) Let V be a finite-dimensional representation of $\hat{G}$. A spherical function f is of type V if V_f is isomorphic to V as a $\hat{G}$-module.

(iv) A holomorphic function $\Psi : \tilde{G}_q \to V^*$ is called (conjugacy) equivariant if for any $g \in \hat{G}$, $x \in \tilde{G}_q$ one has $g^{-1}\Psi(gxg^{-1}) = \Psi(x)$.

Remark. The only differences between this definition and Definitions 1.1-1.3 are that now the function f is defined on a subset of $\tilde{G}$ rather than on the whole group, and one considers conjugations by elements of a subgroup $\hat{G}$ of $\tilde{G}$ rather than $\tilde{G}$ itself. The last change is necessary because nontrivial finite-dimensional representations of $\hat{G}$ do not extend to $\tilde{G}$.

Let $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $p_z : \hat{G} \to G$ be the evaluation homomorphism – the composition of the projection $\hat{G} \to LG$ and the evaluation map $g(\cdot) \in LG \to g(z) \in G$. Let V be a finite-dimensional representation of G. Define a $\hat{G}$-module $V(z) = p_z^*V$: $\pi_{V(z)}(g) = \pi_V(p_z(g))$. This module is called an evaluation module.

Proposition 2.1. [CP]

(i) Let V_1,...,V_n be irreducible nontrivial G-modules. Then the $\hat{G}$-module $V_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n(z_n)$ is simple iff $z_1, ..., z_n \in \mathbb{C}^*$ are distinct.

(ii) Every nontrivial irreducible finite-dimensional representation of $\hat{G}$ is isomorphic to $V_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n(z_n)$ for suitable $V_i \neq \mathbb{C}, z_i \neq 0$. V_i and z_i are determined uniquely up to order.

From now on we assume that the representation V is irreducible, i.e. of the form described by Proposition 2.1. The vector-function Ψ_f corresponding to a spherical function f is defined as in Chapter 1, and Proposition 1.1 holds true (with $\hat{G}$ instead of the group K, and $\tilde{G}_q$ instead of the symmetric space $K \times K/K_d$).

As we explained in Section 1.1, to study spherical functions of an irreducible type is the same as to study equivariant functions. So we will concentrate our attention on equivariant functions on $\tilde{G}_q$.

2.3. Equivariant functions of degree k and their construction.

Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let Z_0 be the connected component of the identity in the center of $\hat{G}$. Let $\zeta : Z_0 \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be the identification of Z_0 with $\mathbb{C}^*$.

We say that an equivariant function Ψ is of degree k if $\Psi(zx) = \zeta(z)^k\Psi(x)$ for any $z \in Z_0$. Let $F_k^V(\tilde{G}_q)$ denote the space of equivariant functions $\Psi : \tilde{G}_q \to V^*$ of degree k for any irreducible finite-dimensional representation V of $\hat{G}$.

We say that Ψ is a meromorphic equivariant function on $\tilde{G}_q$ of type V and degree k if $\Psi = u_1/u_2$, where $u_1 : \tilde{G}_q \to V^*$ is a holomorphic equivariant function of degree l_1, and $u_2 : \tilde{G}_q \to \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic central function of degree l_2, so that $l_1 - l_2 = k$. It is clear that meromorphic equivariant functions of a fixed degree form a vector space (it is always infinite dimensional). We denote this space by $MF_k^V(\tilde{G}_q)$. It can be shown that if an equivarinat function of degree k is defined and holomorphic almost everywhere, and is locally (in a neighborhood of every point) a ratio of two holomorphic functions, then it belongs to this space.

We would like to apply formula (1.2) to construct equivariant functions on $\tilde{G}_q$. It turns out, however, that $\tilde{G}$ does not have nontrivial finite-dimensional representations. Thus, we will have to compute trace in infinite-dimensional $\tilde{G}$-modules, namely, in highest weight integrable modules. This requires some analytic background which is introduced below.

Let $L_{\lambda,k}$ denote the integrable $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{pol}$-module with highest weight λ and central charge k ([K1,PS]). The action of the element d in $L_{\lambda,k}$ is defined by the condition that it annihilates the highest weight vector. It was shown by H.Garland [G] that this module admits a positive definite Hermitian form $(,)$, contravariant with respect to the antilinear Cartan involution on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{pol}$ (this form should not be confused with the *bilinear* contravariant form which we used in Chapter 1). Let $v_{\lambda k \mu i}$ be an orthonormal basis of the weight subspace $L_{\lambda,k}[\mu]$. We will consider two completions of $L_{\lambda,k}$ defined in terms of this basis: the L^2 completion

$$L^{ss}_{\lambda,k} = \{\sum_{\mu,i} a_{\mu i} v_{\lambda k \mu i} : \sum_{\mu,i} |a_{\mu i}|^2 < \infty\}$$

(ss=square summable), and the analytic completion

$$L^{an}_{\lambda,k} = \{\sum_{\mu,i} a_{\mu i} v_{\lambda k \mu i} : \text{ there exists } 0 < q < 1 \text{ such that } a_{\mu i} = O(q^{-d(\mu)}), \mu \to \infty\},$$

where $d(\mu)$ is the degree of the weight μ with respect to the homogeneous grading (this degree takes nonpositive values). Clearly, the L^2-completion is a Hilbert space, and the analytic completion is a dense subspace in it. It is known (see [GW1], Lemma 3.2) that the action of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{pol}$ on $L_{\lambda,k}$ extends by continuity to an action of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ on $L^{an}_{\lambda,k}$ (but not on $L^{ss}_{\lambda,k}$).

Introduce the semigroup $\tilde{G}_{<1} = \cup_{|q|<1} \tilde{G}_q$. Let d be the degree operator in $L_{\lambda,k}$, i.e. $d|_{L_{\lambda,k}[\mu]} = d(\mu)$.

We will need the following results from the theory of loop groups:

Theorem 2.2. *(*[GW1]*, Theorem 6.1;* [PS]*) The action of the Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ in $L^{an}_{\lambda,k}$ uniquely integrates to an action of $\hat{G}$. The restriction of this action to $\hat{K}$ is unitary with respect to $(,)$.*

Lemma 2.3. *For any $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $|q| < 1$ and any $g \in \hat{G}$ the operator $gq^{-d} : L^{an}_{\lambda,k} \to L^{an}_{\lambda,k}$ extends to a trace class operator on $L^{ss}_{\lambda,k}$. Thus, the representation of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ in $L_{\lambda,k}$ exponentiates to a representation of the semigroup $\tilde{G}_{<1}$ in $L^{ss}_{\lambda,k}$ by trace class operators.*

Proof. This lemma follows from [GW1], Theorem 6.1.

Let $V = V_1(z_1) \otimes V_2(z_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n(z_n)$, where V_i are irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$-modules, and z_i are distinct nonzero complex numbers, such that $|z_i| \geq |z_j|$ for $i < j$. Let $Q(V) = |z_n/z_1|$.

Consider intertwining operators $\Phi : L_{\lambda,k} \to \hat{L}_{\nu,k} \otimes V^*$, where $\hat{L}_{\nu,k}$ denotes the (formal) completion of $L_{\nu,k}$ by degree. Denote the space of such intertwiners by $I_{\lambda\nu k}(V^*)$. Set $\Phi(z) = (z^d \otimes 1)\Phi z^{-d}$.

Lemma 2.4.

(i) Let $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $|q| < Q(V)$. Then for any intertwiner $\Phi \in I_{\lambda\nu k}(V^*)$ and any complex number a such that $|q/z_n| < |a| < |1/z_1|$ the operator $\Phi(a)q^{-d} : L_{\lambda,k} \to \hat{L}_{\nu,k} \otimes V^*$ extends to a trace class operator $L^{ss}_{\lambda,k} \to L^{ss}_{\nu,k} \otimes V^*$.

(ii) For any $g \in \hat{G}$ and q as in (i) the operator $\Phi(a)q^{-d}g : L_{\lambda,k} \to \hat{L}_{\nu,k} \otimes V^*$ extends to a trace class operator $L^{ss}_{\lambda,k} \to L^{ss}_{\nu,k} \otimes V^*$.

Proof. See Section 3.1.

Now we can construct a large supply of equivariant functions on $\tilde{G}_q$.

Let $\Phi : L_{\lambda,k} \to \hat{L}_{\lambda,k} \otimes V^*$ be an intertwiner. Consider the operator $\Phi(a)q^{-d}g$, where a is as in Lemma 2.4, $g \in \hat{G}$, $|q| < Q(V)$. Since this operator is trace class, we can compute its trace:

$$\Psi(\tilde{g}) = \mathrm{Tr}|_{L^{ss}_{\lambda,k}}(\Phi(a)\tilde{g}) = \mathrm{Tr}|_{L^{ss}_{\lambda,k}}(\Phi(a)q^{-d}g),\ \tilde{g} = (q,g) \in \tilde{G}_q. \tag{2.1}$$

This function is obviously holomorphic, since the representation $L_{\lambda,k}$ is a holomorphic representation. In particular, if $V = \mathbb{C}$ and $\Phi = \mathrm{Id}$, then (2.1) is just the character of the module $L_{\lambda,k}$.

From the cyclic property of the trace one gets:

Proposition 2.5. *The function Ψ defined by (2.1) is conjugacy equivariant.*

Remark. Insertion of a in the operator Φ is a purely technical point, since the trace (2.1), in which we are eventually interested, does not depend on a.

Let $S(V, L_{\lambda,k})$ denote the space of functions on $\tilde{G}_q$ spanned by functions (2.1) for all possible choices of the intertwiner $\Phi : L_{\lambda,k} \to \hat{L}_{\lambda,k} \otimes V^*$. The spaces $S(V, L_{\lambda,k})$ are finite-dimensional subspaces of $F^V_k(\tilde{G}_q)$, and they are disjoint for distinct pairs (λ, k). Also, the map assigning the function (2.1) to an intertwiner Φ is an isomorphism of vector spaces $\mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{pol}}(L_{\lambda,k}, \hat{L}_{\lambda,k} \otimes V^*) \to S(V, L_{\lambda,k})$.

For $k > 0$, let P^+_k be the set of highest weights (with respect to $\mathfrak{g}$) of integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules at level k. Define the space $H_k = \oplus_{\lambda \in P^+_k} L_{\lambda,k} \otimes L^*_{\lambda,k}$, where $L^*_{\lambda,k}$ denotes the restricted dual space to $L_{\lambda,k}$ (direct sum of the dual spaces to the weight subspaces in $L_{\lambda,k}$). This space is naturally a module with central charge 0 with respect to the diagonal action of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{pol}$. In physics it arises as the space of states of the Wess-Zumino-Witten conformal field theory at level k.

The following statement is an affine analogue of Proposition 1.2(ii). It gives a classification of equivariant functions, which is one of our main results.

Theorem 2.6.

(i) Any equivariant function of a negative degree is zero.

(ii) If Ψ is a nonzero equivariant function of degree 0 then $V = \mathbb{C}$ and Ψ is a constant.

(iii) If $k > 0$ and $|q|$ is sufficiently small then $F_k^V(\tilde{G}_q) = \oplus_{\lambda \in P_k^+} S(V, L_{\lambda,k}) = Hom_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{pol}}(H_k, V^*)$.

Proof. See Section 3.3.

Remarks. 1. Note that unlike the compact Lie group case, the direct sum in Theorem 2.6 is finite for any k, so the space $F_k^V(\tilde{G}_q)$ is finite-dimensional. Thus, any equivariant function of degree k can be represented as a finite linear combination of functions of the form (2.1).

2. The condition "$|q|$ is sufficiently small" can in fact be replaced by a more precise condition "$|q| < Q(V)$", although our proof does not show it. This refinement can be achieved by applying a method suggested in Section 5 of [FG]; see Section 3.3 for more details.

3. There exists a heuristic statement which is sometimes called "Peter-Weyl theorem for affine Lie groups". It says that the space of L^2-functions on $\hat{G}$ of level k is equal to $H_k = \oplus_{\lambda \in P_k^+} L_{\lambda,k} \otimes L_{\lambda,k}^*$. This is not even a conjecture since the notion of an L^2-function is not defined, but it is useful as a guiding principle in mathematical understanding of conformal field theory. Theorem 2.6(iii) (which is by itself a rigorous statement) can be regarded as a consequence of this "affine Peter-Weyl theorem" in the same way as Proposition 1.2(ii) is a consequence of the classical Peter-Weyl theorem for compact Lie groups.

3. Theorem 2.6 has a nice interpretation in terms of the WZW conformal field theory. The main structural ingredient of a conformal field theory is the modular functor, which was defined by G.Segal [Se]. This is a rule which assigns to every Riemann surface Σ with punctures and labels on them a finite-dimensional vector space – the space of conformal blocks on this surface. If we take the surface Σ to be the elliptic curve $\mathbb{C}^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$, the punctures to be the projections of the points $z_1, ..., z_n$ to this curve, and the labels to be the highest weights of the representations $V_1, ..., V_n$ then the assignment $(\Sigma, z_1, ..., z_n, V_1^*, ..., V_n^*) \to F_k^V(\tilde{G}_q)$ is the modular functor of the WZW theory at level k ([MS]). This completely describes the modular functor for surfaces of genus 1.

Corollary 2.7. *Characters of integrable modules at level k form a basis in the space of central functions on $\tilde{G}_q$ of degree k ($k > 0$).*

2.4. The second order Laplace operator on an affine Lie group.

For $0 < Q \leq 1$, define the set $\tilde{G}_{<Q} = \cup_{0<|q|<Q} \tilde{G}_q$.

Definition 2.3.

(i) A holomorphic function $\Psi : \tilde{G}_{<Q} \to V^*$ is called an equivariant function of degree k if it is such after restriction to $\tilde{G}_q$ for any q such that $0 < |q| < Q$. The space of equivariant V^*-valued functions of degree k on $\tilde{G}_{<Q}$ is denoted by $F_k^V(\tilde{G}_{<Q})$.

(ii) A meromorphic equivariant function on $\tilde{G}_{<Q}$ of type V and degree k is a ratio u_1/u_2, such that $u_1 \in F_{l_1}^V(\tilde{G}_{<Q})$, $u_2 \in F_{l_2}^{\mathbb{C}}(\tilde{G}_{<Q})$, and $l_1 - l_2 = k$. The space of such functions is denoted by $MF_k^V(\tilde{G}_{<Q})$.

Now we would like to define the Laplace operator on $\tilde{G}$. For this purpose we recall that any element of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ defines a left-invariant holomorphic vector field on $\tilde{G}$. Therefore, a quadratic expression over $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ would define a left-invariant second-order

differential operator on $\tilde{G}$. If we would like to obtain a two-sided invariant operator, we must take an expression which commutes with $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Such an expression is known – it is the Sugawara expression for the Casimir element of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. This expression belongs to a completion of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Definition 2.4. *The Laplace operator for $\tilde{G}$ is the differential operator given by the Sugawara expression:*

$$\Delta_{\tilde{G}} = D_C,\ C = 2(c+h^\vee)d + \sum_{a\in B}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} :a[n]a[-n]:,$$

where $h^\vee$ is the dual Coxeter number of G, B is an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\langle,\rangle$, $:a[n]a[-n]:$ is the normal ordered product which equals $a[n]a[-n]$ if $n<0$ and $a[-n]a[n]$ otherwise.

Of course, Laplace operator is an infinite expression, and therefore it cannot be applied to an arbitrary meromorphic function. Define truncated Laplacians

$$\Delta_{\tilde{G}}^{(N)} = D_{C_N}, C_N = 2(c+h^\vee)d + \sum_{a\in B}\sum_{n=-N}^{N} :a[n]a[-n]: \tag{2.2}$$

Let us say that a meromorphic function f on $\tilde{G}_{<Q}$ with values in a finite-dimensional vector space is admissible if there exists a pointwise limit $\Delta_{\tilde{G}} f = \lim_{N\to\infty}\Delta_{\tilde{G}}^{(N)} f$ at every regular point of f.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $0<Q<1$ be sufficiently small. Then:*

(i) Meromorphic equivariant functions of degree k on $\tilde{G}_{<Q}$ are admissible.

(ii) The spaces $F_k^V(\tilde{G}_{<Q})$, $MF_k^V(\tilde{G}_{<Q})$ are invariant under the Laplace operator.

(iii) The Laplace operator is conjugacy invariant, i.e. commutes with the action of $\hat{G}$ by conjugation on $MF_k^V(\tilde{G}_{<Q})$.

(iv) Traces of intertwiners given by (2.1) are eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator. That is, if Ψ is given by (2.1) then $\Delta_{\tilde{G}}\Psi = \langle\lambda,\lambda+2\rho\rangle\Psi$.

Proof. See Section 3.4.

2.5. The radial part of the Laplace operator.

Let us now consider the affine analogue of the theory of radial part.

Our notations for the root system for G, the Weyl group, etc. are the same as we introduced in Chapter 1 for K. Let $\xi_j, 1\le j\le r$, be an orthonormal basis of the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}\subset\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\hat{R}$ be the set of roots of the affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, and let $\hat{R}^\pm$ be the sets of positive and negative roots, respectively. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathbb{C}c\oplus\mathbb{C}d$ be the Cartan subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let $\hat{P}\subset\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ be the integral weight lattice of $\hat{R}$, i.e the set of weights $\lambda+kc^*+ld^*$, $k,l\in\mathbb{Z}$, $\lambda\in P$, where $c^*(h)=\langle c,h\rangle$, $d^*(h)=\langle d,h\rangle$, $h\in\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$. Let $\hat{P}_k\subset\hat{P}$ be the set of weights of level k, $\hat{P}_k^+\subset\hat{P}_k$ be the set of dominant integral weights. Let $E_{\hat{\alpha}}^i$, $F_{\hat{\alpha}}^i$ be bases of the root subspaces $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\hat{\alpha}}$, $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{-\hat{\alpha}}$, respectively, $\hat{\alpha}\in\hat{R}^+$, such that $\langle E_{\hat{\alpha}}^i, F_{\hat{\alpha}}^j\rangle = \delta_{ij}$ (recall that the root subspaces are not always one-dimensional for imaginary roots). Then the Casimir element for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ can be written as follows:

$$C = 2(c+h^\vee)d + \sum_{j=1}^{r}\xi_j^2 + 2\rho + \sum_{\hat{\alpha}\in\hat{R}^+} F_{\hat{\alpha}}E_{\hat{\alpha}}.$$

As in the finite-dimensional case (see Chapter 1), any conjugacy equivariant function on $\tilde{G}_{<Q}$ is completely determined by its values on the subset $\{(q,g)|g \in H \times \text{ center}\}$, where H is the Cartan subgroup in G. This is a consequence of the fact that the set of elements of $\tilde{G}_{<Q}$ which can be mapped into this set by conjugations by elements of $\hat{G}$ is a (Zariski) dense set in $\tilde{G}_{<Q}$ (see Section 3.2). Further, if an equivariant function is of degree k, it is uniquely defined by its values on $\{(q,g)|g \in H\}$. Thus, any differential operator D_Y on V^*-valued conjugacy equivariant functions of degree k can be written in terms of the derivatives $\partial/\partial q$, $\partial/\partial h$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. We will call this operator acting on functions of q, h the radial part of D_Y and denote it by $R_V(Y)$ (here Y is from a completion of $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$).

The radial part of Laplace operator is given by a formula that is completely analogous to the finite-dimensional formula (1.3).

Let $\hat{h} = h + td$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$, $q = e^{-t}$. Let $\hat{\delta}$ be the affine Weyl denominator: $\hat{\delta}(\hat{h}) = e^{\langle \hat{\rho}, \hat{h}\rangle} \prod_{\hat{\alpha} \in \hat{R}} (1 - e^{-\langle \hat{\alpha}, \hat{h}\rangle})$, where $\hat{\rho} = \rho + h^\vee c^*$.

Proposition 2.9.

The operator $R_V(C)$ has the form

(2.3)

$$(R_V(C)\psi)(\hat{h}) = \hat{\delta}(\hat{h})^{-1}\left(2(k+h^\vee)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_{\mathfrak{h}} - \sum_{\hat{\alpha} \in \hat{R}^+} \frac{E_{\hat{\alpha}}F_{\hat{\alpha}}}{2\sinh^2(\langle \hat{\alpha}, \hat{h}\rangle/2)} - \langle \rho, \rho\rangle\right)(\psi(\hat{h})\hat{\delta}(\hat{h})),$$

where $\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is the Laplace operator on $\mathfrak{h}$.

Proof. This statement follows from [EK1], Theorem 4.1; see also [Be],[FG].

In particular, if $V = V_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n(z_n)$, then (2.3) can be rewritten in a more explicit form. Namely, for every $b \in \mathfrak{g}$ let b_i denote the operator in V^* obtained by the action of b in the V_i^*-component of the tensor product. Also, consider the functions

$$\varphi(x,z,t) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{z^m}{\sinh^2((x-mt)/2)},$$

$$\varphi_0(z,t) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus 0} \frac{z^m}{\sinh^2(mt/2)} = \lim_{x \to 0}\left(\varphi(x,z,t) - \frac{1}{\sinh^2(x/2)}\right). \tag{2.4}$$

These functions can be expressed in terms of standard elliptic functions as follows:

$$\varphi(x,z,t) = -4\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\Theta(x+\zeta)\Theta'(0)}{\Theta(x)\Theta(\zeta)},$$

$$\varphi_0(z,t) = \frac{2\Theta''(\zeta)}{\Theta(\zeta)} - \frac{2\Theta'''(0)}{3\Theta'(0)} + \frac{1}{3},$$

where $z = e^\zeta$, and

$$\Theta(x) = 2q^{1/8}\sinh(x/2)\prod_{n \geq 1}(1 - e^x q^n)(1 - e^{-x}q^n)(1 - q^n)$$

is the standard theta-function.

Proposition 2.10.

Let $\{e_\alpha, f_\alpha, \xi_m\}$ be the root basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. If $V = V_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n(z_n)$ then the operator $R_V(C)$ can be written in the form

$$(R_V(C)\psi)(\hat{h}) =$$

$$\hat{\delta}(\hat{h})^{-1}\Bigg(2(k+h^\vee)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_{\mathfrak{h}} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\sum_{\alpha\in R^+}\varphi(\langle\alpha,h\rangle, \frac{z_i}{z_j}, t)(e_\alpha)_i(f_\alpha)_j$$

$$-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\sum_{m=1}^{r}\varphi_0(\frac{z_i}{z_j}, t)(\xi_m)_i(\xi_m)_j - \langle\rho,\rho\rangle\Bigg)(\psi(\hat{h})\hat{\delta}(\hat{h})), \tag{2.5}$$

where $\psi(\hat{h}) = \tilde{\psi}(e^{\hat{h}}), \tilde{\psi} \in MF_k^V(\tilde{G}_{<Q})$.

Proof. This proposition is proved in [EK1]. It also follows from Proposition 2.9.

Remarks. 1. A commutative set of $r+2$ operators for which functions of the form (2.1) are eigenfunctions can be obtained at any value of k. They can be constructed as radial parts of Laplace operators defined by central elements lying in a completion of $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$. Two such elements are obvious – the element $c \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, and the Casimir element C. However, the rest of these central elements (which were constructed in [K2]) are quite complicated. Their radial parts are not differential operators but rather formal series in q whose coefficients are differential operators.

2. The parabolic differential operator on the right hand side of (2.5) coincides (up to conjugation by the affine Weyl denominator) with the operator representing the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov connection on conformal blocks on an elliptic curve corresponding to the deformation of the complex structure on this curve [Be].

2.6. Affine Jack polynomials.

Now we can define the affine analogue of Jack's polynomials, following the paper [EK3], by analogy with Section 1.6. Consider the case when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n, V^* = S^{(\ell-1)n}\mathbb{C}^n(z)$. In this case, the trace Ψ defined by (2.1), when restricted to the Cartan subgroup, takes values in $V^*[0]$, which is one-dimensional and therefore can be identified with $\mathbb{C}$. Also, it does not depend on z. Since, as we have mentioned, $(e_\alpha f_\alpha)_{|V^*[0]} = \ell(\ell-1)\mathrm{Id}$, we can rewrite the radial part of the Laplacian $R_V(C)$ as an operator acting on scalar functions by the formula $R_V(C) = \hat{\delta}^{-1}\circ(\hat{D}_\ell - \langle\rho,\rho\rangle)\circ\hat{\delta}$, where

$$\hat{D}_\ell = 2(k+h^\vee)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_{\mathfrak{h}} - \frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in R^+}\varphi(\langle\alpha,h\rangle, 1, t). \tag{2.6}$$

Define the operator $\tilde{D}_\ell = \hat{\delta}^{-\ell}\circ\hat{D}_\ell\circ\hat{\delta}^{\ell}$. Let $\hat{W}$ be the affine Weyl group for $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $m_{\hat{\lambda}}$ be the orbitsums for $\hat{W}$: $m_{\hat{\lambda}} = \sum_{\hat{\nu}\in\hat{W}\hat{\lambda}} e^{\langle\hat{\nu},\hat{h}\rangle}$. By definition, a W-invariant theta function of level k is a (possibly infinite) linear combination of orbitsums $m_{\hat{\lambda}}$ for $\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{P}_k^+$, of the form $\sum_{\hat{\mu}\le\hat{\lambda}} c_{\hat{\lambda}\hat{\mu}} m_{\hat{\mu}}(\hat{h})$, which is convergent in the region $\mathrm{Re}t > 0$ ($\hat{h} = h + td$, so $t = d^*(h)$), see [Lo]. The space of such functions is denoted by $\mathbb{C}[\hat{P}_k]^{\hat{W}}$. It is known ([Lo], [BS]) that $\mathbb{C}[\hat{P}_k]^{\hat{W}}$ coincides with the space of holomorphic functions $f(q,\xi,u)$ of the variables (q,ξ,u) ($|q|<1, \xi\in H, u\in\mathbb{C}^*$) such that f is representable in the form $f(q,\xi,u) = f_0(q,\xi)u^k$, and invariant with respect to the action of $\hat{W}$ given by equation (3.3) below.

Then we have an affine analogue of Proposition 1.7.

Proposition 2.11. *(*[EK3]*)*

The operator $\tilde{D}_\ell$ maps the space of $\hat{W}$-invariant theta functions of any level $k \geq 0$ into itself. Moreover, its action is triangular with respect to the basis of orbitsums: $\tilde{D}_\ell m_{\hat{\lambda}} = \langle \hat{\lambda} + \ell\hat{\rho}, \hat{\lambda} + \ell\hat{\rho}\rangle m_{\hat{\lambda}} + \sum_{\hat{\nu}<\hat{\lambda}} c_{\hat{\lambda}\hat{\nu}} m_{\hat{\nu}}$.

Now, let us define affine Jack's polynomials $\hat{J}^\ell_{\hat{\lambda}}$ as level k W-invariant theta functions such that

1. $\hat{J}^\ell_{\hat{\lambda}}(\hat{h}) = e^{\langle \hat{\lambda}, \hat{h}\rangle} + \sum_{\hat{\mu}<\hat{\lambda}} s_{\hat{\lambda}\hat{\mu}} e^{\langle \hat{\mu}, \hat{h}\rangle}$
2. $\tilde{D}_\ell \hat{J}^\ell_{\hat{\lambda}} = \langle \hat{\lambda} + \ell\hat{\rho}, \hat{\lambda} + \ell\hat{\rho}\rangle \hat{J}^\ell_{\hat{\lambda}}$.

Then we have the following way to construct these polynomials. Let us fix $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and consider intertwiners $\Phi_{\hat{\lambda}}(z) : L_{\hat{\lambda}+(\ell-1)\hat{\rho}} \to \hat{L}_{\hat{\lambda}+(\ell-1)\hat{\rho}} \otimes V^*$, where V^* is as above. Such an intertwiner exists iff $\hat{\lambda} = \lambda + kc^* + ld^* \in \hat{P}^+$, or $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, l \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda \in P^+_k$. Let us denote $\Psi_{\hat{\lambda}}(\tilde{g}) = \mathrm{Tr}|_{L_{\hat{\lambda}+(\ell-1)\hat{\rho}}}(\Phi_{\hat{\lambda}}(a)\tilde{g})$. This is an equivariant function on $\tilde{G}_{<Q}$ of level $k + (\ell-1)h^\vee$. Consider its restriction to the elements of the Cartan subgroup in $\tilde{G}$. This restriction belongs to the algebra $\mathbb{C}[\hat{P}_k]^{\hat{W}}$, and one has the following theorem, which is an affine analogue of Proposition 1.9:

Theorem 2.12. *(*[EK3]*) Let $\tilde{g} = e^{\hat{h}}$, $\hat{h} = h + td$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. Then*

(i) $\Psi_0(\tilde{g}) = \hat{\delta}^{\ell-1}(\hat{h})$.

(ii) $\Psi_{\hat{\lambda}}$ is divisible by Ψ_0 in the algebra $\oplus_{k\geq 0}\mathbb{C}[\hat{P}_k]^{\hat{W}}$ for every $\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{P}^+$ (i.e. the ratio is a theta function).

(iii) $\frac{\Psi_{\hat{\lambda}}(\tilde{g})}{\Psi_0(\tilde{g})} = \hat{J}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\hat{h})$.

2.7. Higher Laplace operators at the critical level and their radial parts.

We have described an affine analogue of the theory of radial part. The difference with the finite-dimensional case is that we obtained only one differential operator whereas in the finite-dimensional case there is a set of rank$\mathfrak{g}$ pairwise commuting differential operators. It turns out that a commuting set of differential operators can also be obtained in the affine case if we restrict the above construction to the critical level $k = -h^\vee$.

Since the critical level $k = -h^\vee$ is negative, there is no nonzero holomorphic equivariant functions of degree k on $\tilde{G}_q$. However, there are meromorphic equivariant functions. We will construct a system of $r = \mathrm{rank}\mathfrak{g}$ commuting differential operators acting on these functions. This construction uses the existence of r algebraically independent central elements of degree zero in a completion of $U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})/(c = -h^\vee)$, which was proved by B.Feigin and E.Frenkel [FF]. We explain this result below.

Let $U_k(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) = U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})/(c = k)$. Let $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Define the "quantum field" $a(z) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} a[m]z^{-m-1}$ (it is just a formal series). We call such a field a basic field. We can construct more complicated fields from basic fields using addition, multiplication by numbers, differentiation by z, and normal ordered product. Laurent components of such fields will already be infinite expressions over $U_k(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$, but they will give rise to well defined operators in highest weight modules over $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with central charge k. Denote the space of all fields obtained in this way by $N_{0,k}$. As a vector space, it coincides with the "Weyl module" over $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ whith highest weight 0 and central charge k. If $k \neq -h^\vee$, then $N_{0,k}$ is a vertex operator algebra (cf. [FZ]). For $k = -h^\vee$, all axioms of a vertex operator algebra hold excluding the axioms involving the Virasoro algebra (since the Virasoro algebra action in $N_{0,k}$ has a pole

at $k=-h^\vee$). An element $A(z)\in N_{0,k}$ is called a field (a vertex operator) of conformal dimension Δ if $[d,A(z)]=(-z\partial-\Delta)A(z)$, $\partial=\frac{d}{dz}$. For instance, basic fields are of conformal dimension 1.

Fourier components of vertex operators from $N_{0,k}$ span a space of infinite expressions which turns out to be closed under commutation, i.e. a Lie algebra. Denote this Lie algebra by $U_k(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_{loc}$ ("quantized local functionals"), as in [FF].

Let $d_1,...,d_r$ be the exponents of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\{a_j\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proposition 2.13. *([FF]) There exist fields $Y_1(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{\dim\mathfrak{g}}:a_j^2(z):$, $Y_2(z),...,Y_r(z)\in N_{0,-h^\vee}$ of conformal dimensions $d_1,...,d_r$ such that their Fourier components commute with $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, are linearly independent, and span the center of the Lie algebra $U_{-h^\vee}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_{loc}$.*

Remark. Weaker versions of this result were obtained earlier in [Ma],[GW2],[Ha].

Set $\hat{Y}_i=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint Y_i z^{d_i-1}dz$. Then $\hat{Y}_i$ have degree zero, i.e. commute with d. Define the corresponding differential operators $D_{Y_i}=\Delta_i$ of orders d_i on $\hat{G}$. We have: $\Delta_1=\Delta_{\tilde{G}}$ (see Definition 2.4), and $[\Delta_i,\Delta_j]=0$ on functions of degree $-h^\vee$. Notice that $\hat{Y}_1=C$ – the Sugawara element.

As we have seen, the operators Δ_i do not act on all holomorphic or meromorphic functions since they are defined by infinite expressions. However, one can define the notion of an admissible function for Δ_i similarly to the case of Δ_1: one defines truncated Laplacians $(\Delta_i)^{(N)}$ and calls a function f admissible if the sequence $(\Delta_i)^{(N)}f$ is convergent at every regular point of f.

Theorem 2.14.

(i) Equivariant meromorphic functions are admissible for Δ_i.

(ii) The space $MF^V_{-h^\vee}(\tilde{G}_q)$ is invariant under Δ_i.

(iii) Δ_i are conjugacy invariant.

Proof. See Section 3.4.

Since any equivariant function is uniquely determined by its restriction to the Cartan subgroup, one can rewrite the operators $\Delta_1,...,\Delta_r$ in the Cartan coordinates. This will produce their radial parts, $R_V(\hat{Y}_1),...,R_V(\hat{Y}_r)$. Using the structure of the elements $\hat{Y}_i$ ([FF]) and Proposition 2.10, one obtains the following result.

Theorem 2.15.

(i) The radial parts $R_V(\hat{Y}_1),...,R_V(\hat{Y}_r)$ are pairwise commutative differential operators in r variables whose symbols are the highest terms of the polynomials $\chi(\hat{Y}_1),...,\chi(\hat{Y}_r)$, where $\chi:\hat{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})\to U(\mathfrak{h})$ is the Harish-Chandra homomorphism ($\hat{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ denotes the completion of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$).

(ii) The operator $R_V(\hat{Y}_1)$ for $V=V_1(z_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes V_n(z_n)$ has the form

$$(R_V(C)\psi)(\hat{h})=$$

$$\hat{\delta}(\hat{h})^{-1}\Big(\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\sum_{\alpha\in R^+}\varphi(\langle\alpha,h\rangle,\frac{z_i}{z_j},t)(e_\alpha)_i(f_\alpha)_j$$

$$-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\sum_{m=1}^{r}\varphi_0(\frac{z_i}{z_j},t)(\xi_m)_i(\xi_m)_j-\langle\rho,\rho\rangle\Big)(\psi(\hat{h})\hat{\delta}(\hat{h})). \tag{2.7}$$

where $\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is the Laplace operator on $\mathfrak{h}$.

2.8. Integrability of the elliptic Calogero-Sutherland-Moser Hamiltonian.

It is especially interesting to specialize Theorem 2.15 to the case when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$, $V^* = S^{(\ell-1)n}\mathbb{C}^n(z)$. In this case, equivariant functions restricted on the Cartan subgroup take values in $V^*[0] = \mathbb{C}$, so the operators $R_V(\hat{Y}_i)$ can be regarded as scalar differential operators. In particular, we can rewrite the radial part of the Laplacian $R_V(\hat{Y}_1)$ as an operator acting in scalar functions by the formula $R_V(\hat{Y}_1) = \hat{\delta}^{-1} \circ (\hat{D}_\ell - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle) \circ \hat{\delta}$, where

$$\hat{D}_\ell = \Delta_{\mathfrak{h}} - \frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \varphi(\langle \alpha, h \rangle, 1, t). \tag{2.8}$$

On the other hand, it is easy to check that $\varphi(x, 1, t) = -\frac{1}{\pi^2}\wp(\frac{x}{2\pi i}, \tau) + c(\tau)$, where $\tau = it/2\pi$, $\wp$ is the Weierstrass elliptic function and $c(\tau) = 8\sum_{m>0} \frac{q^m}{(1-q^m)^2} - \frac{1}{3}$. Therefore, up to renormalization, operator (2.8) coincides with the Calogero-Sutherland operator with elliptic potential [OP]. Let us realize $\mathfrak{h}$ as the space $\{(x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0\}$, and set $h = 2\pi i(x_1, ..., x_n)$. Then, from Theorem 2.15 we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.16. *(i) (*[OP]*) The elliptic Calogero-Sutherland operator*

$$D_\ell = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} - \ell(\ell-1) \sum_{i \neq j} \wp(x_i - x_j, \tau) \tag{2.9}$$

defines a completely integrable quantum Hamiltonian system.

(ii) ([E]*) The quantum integrals of D_ℓ are equal to $\hat{\delta} \circ R_V(\hat{Y}_j) \circ \hat{\delta}^{-1}$, $j = 1, .., r$.*

Since the operators $R_V(\hat{Y}_i)$ are pairwise commutative, one can consider the holonomic system of differential equations $R_V(\hat{Y}_j)\Psi = \Lambda_j \Psi$, which is an elliptic analogue of system (1.5). For any set of eigenvalues $\Lambda_1, ..., \Lambda_r$ there exist $|W|$ linearly independent solutions of this system, which are expressed by interesting special functions. For example, for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ one has only one second order differential equation in one variable. This equation coincides with the classical Lamé equation, and its solutions are the classical Lame functions [WW]. Thus, Lamé functions can be interpreted as spherical functions on $\tilde{SL}_2$ of degree -2 which are eigenfunctions for the second order Laplace operator.

Remark. It is a natural question if one can give a representation theoretic construction for solutions of the system $R_V(\hat{Y}_j)\Psi = \Lambda_j \Psi$, similar to formula (1.4). One could expect that such a formula would involve the trace of the operator $\Phi e^{\hat{h}}$ (where Φ is an intertwiner) in a module N over $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ at the critical level $k = -h^\vee$. Unfortunately, this runs into the following difficulty: nontrivial intertwining operators $\Phi : N \to \hat{N} \otimes V^*$ at the critical level do not exist. However, one can consider the trace $\mathrm{Tr}|_N(\Phi e^{\hat{h}})$ for modules N of level $k \neq -h^\vee$, and study its asymptotics as $k \to -h^\vee$. This was done in [EK1] for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s}l_2$, and it was shown that the leading term of this asymptotics gives Lamé functions. This result can be generalized to

the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s}l_n$, which allows to obtain a representation-theoretic interpretation of eigenfunctions of the elliptic Calogero-Sutherland-Moser system. This will be described in a future paper.

3. Proofs

3.1. Proof of Lemma 2.4. (i) Let p be a complex number such that $|p| > |q|$, $|p/z_n| < |a| < |1/z_1|$. It is enough to show that the operator $\Phi(a)p^{-d}$ is bounded. Pick a vector $w \in V$ and let $A : L_{\lambda,k} \to L_{\nu,k}$ be defined by the formula $Ax = (w, \Phi(a)p^{-d}x)$. We must show that A is bounded. For this, it is enough to show that $\mathrm{Tr}(A^*A)$ is finite. We do it by computing this trace explicitly.

Let $\theta : G \to G$ be the compact involution – the antiholomorphic involution such that $G^\theta = K$. This involution defines an antiholomorphic involution $\hat{\theta} : LG \to LG$: $\hat{\theta}(g)(z) = \theta(g(1/\bar{z}))$, such that $LG^{\hat{\theta}} = LK$. This involution extends to $\tilde{G}$ in an obvious way. The representation $\pi : \tilde{G} \to \mathrm{End}L^{an}_{\lambda,k}$ has the property: $\pi(\hat{\theta}(g))^{-1} = \pi(g)^*$, where star denotes Hermitian conjugation with respect to the sesquilinear contravariant form.

Let V^θ denote the representation V twisted by the involution θ. The main idea is to observe that the operator $\Phi^* : L_{\nu,k} \otimes V^\theta \to L_{\lambda,k}$, Hermitian conjugate to Φ, is also an intertwiner, because the positive definite Hermitian form on $L_{\lambda,k}$ is contravariant. Also, we have $\Phi(z)^* = \Phi^*(\bar{z}^{-1})$. Therefore, A^*A is a component of the operator $X = \bar{p}^{-d}\Phi^*(\bar{a}^{-1})\Phi(a)p^{-d} : L_{\lambda,k} \to L_{\lambda,k} \otimes V^* \otimes V^{\theta *}$ (here we regard Φ^* as an operator $L_{\nu,k} \to L_{\lambda,k} \otimes V^{\theta *}$).

Our task is to show that the trace $\mathrm{Tr}(X)$ is finite. Let P_m be the orthogonal projector in $L_{\lambda,k}$ to the subspace of vectors of degree $-m$. Let $X_m = P_m X P_m$, and let $T_m = \mathrm{Tr}(X_m)$ (it is a finite positive number). Then $\mathrm{Tr}(X) = \sum_{m\geq 0} T_m p^m \bar{p}^m = \sum_{m\geq 0} T_m |p|^{2m}$. We must show that this power series is convergent.

We have

$$\mathrm{Tr}(X) = \mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^*(\bar{a}^{-1})\Phi(a)|p|^{-2d}). \tag{3.1}$$

But this is a correlation function for the WZW model on the torus, for which the finiteness (i.e. convergence of the series) follows from the inequalities $|z_n/p|^2 > |a|^{-2} > |z_1|^2$ (=the definition of a) and from

Lemma 3.1.

Let V^1, V^2 be irreducible finite-dimensional representations of $\hat{G}$, $V^1 = V^1_1(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes V^1_n(z_n)$, $V^2 = V^2_1(w_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes V^2_m(w_m)$, so that $|z_i| \geq |z_j|$, $|w_i| \geq |w_j|$, $i < j$. Let $\Phi_1 : L_{\lambda,k} \to L_{\nu,k} \otimes V^1$, $\Phi_2 : L_{\nu,k} \to L_{\lambda,k} \otimes V^2$ be intertwining operators. Then the formal series

(3.2)

$$F(x_1, x_2, q) = \mathrm{Tr}(\Phi_1(x_1)\Phi_2(x_2)q^{-d}) = \sum_{m\geq 0} \mathrm{Tr}(P_m\Phi_1(x_1)\Phi_2(x_2)P_m)q^m \in V^1 \otimes V^2$$

absolutely converges in the region $|w_n/qz_1| > |x_1/x_2| > |w_1/z_n|$.

This Lemma follows from the fact that trace (3.2) satisfies the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov differential equation (see [EK1],[Be],[FG]) whose coefficients are elliptic functions of x_1/x_2, and whose Laurent expansions converge in the region specified in the Lemma 3.1. (see [EK1] for details) This implies Lemma 2.4(i).

Statement (ii) of Lemma 2.4. follows from the facts that 1) the operator $\Phi(a)q^{-d}g$ can be written as $\Phi(a)p^{-d}g_1(q/p)^{-d}$, where $g_1 = (q/p)^{-d}g(q/p)^d$, 2) the operators

$\Phi(a)p^{-d}$ and $g_1(q/p)^{-d}$ are trace class by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4(i), and 3) product of a bounded operator and a trace class operator is trace class.

□

In fact, we have proved the following estimate on matrix coefficients of intertwiners.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\Phi \in I_{\lambda\nu k}(V^*)$. Let $||\cdot||$ be any norm on V^*. Then for any $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $|q| < 1$ there exists a constant C_q such that for any homogeneus vectors $v \in L_{\nu,k}, w \in L_{\lambda,k}$ we have*

$$||(v, \Phi w)|| \leq C_q |z_n|^{d(w)} |z_1|^{-d(v)} q^{d(v)+d(w)},$$

where $d(v), d(w)$ are the degrees of v, w.

3.2. Conjugacy classes in $\tilde{G}_q$ and holomorphic principal bundles.

Before we start proving the other results of Chapter 2, we have to describe the conjugacy classes of the action of $\hat{G}$ on $\tilde{G}_q$. First we describe conjugacy classes of the action of LG on $\check{G}_q = \{(q, g), g \in LG\}$.

Let $(q, g) \in \check{G}_q$. Consider the elliptic curve $E_q = \mathbb{C}^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Let B_g be the holomorphic principal G-bundle over E_q defined as follows. We view E_q as the annulus $|q| \leq |z| \leq 1$ in the complex plane, whose boundaries are identified with each other via the map $z \to qz$. We start with a trivial bundle on the annulus, and then define the bundle B_g on E_q by describing the attachment map of fibers over the points identified under $z \to qz$: $f(qz) = g(z)f(z)$ (f takes values in G).

Then we have:

Theorem 3.3. *(E.Looijenga)*

(i) Two elements $(q, g_1), (q, g_2) \in \check{G}_q$ are LG-conjugate to each other if and only if the corresponding holomorphic principal bundles B_{g_1} and B_{g_2} are isomorphic.

(ii) For any principal G-bundle B over E_q there exists an element $g \in LG$ such that $B = B_g$. Thus, LG-conjugacy classes in $\check{G}_q$ are in one-to-one correspondence with holomorphic principal G-bundles over E_q.

The proof of this theorem is straightforward (see, e.g.,[EF])

Principal bundles over an elliptic curve can be classified. However, we will not need a complete classification. All we will need is classification of flat and unitary bundles.

Definition 3.1. *A holomorphic principal G-bundle B over E_q is called flat and unitary if it admits a flat and unitary connection (i.e. a flat connection with monodromy in K) compatible to the complex structure.*

Theorem 3.4. *(*[NS],[R]*) In any holomorphic family $\mathcal{T}$ of holomorphic principal G-bundles over E_q almost every bundle is flat and unitary (i.e. this is true on a nonempty Zariski open subset of $\mathcal{T}$).*

Definition 3.2. *We say that $(q, g) \in \check{G}_q$ is semisimple if the bundle B_g is flat and unitary.*

Corollary 3.5. *Almost all elements of $\check{G}_q$ are semisimple. That is, the set of elements $g \in LG$ such that (q, g) is semisimple contains a nonempty Zariski open set.*

Conjugacy classes of semisimple elements are very easy to classify. Namely, from the definition of semisimplicity one gets

Proposition 3.6. *Every semisimple element in $\check{G}_q$ is LG-conjugate to an element of the form (q, ξ), where $\xi \in H$. Two elements $(q, \xi_1), (q, \xi_2)$ are conjugate iff ξ_1, ξ_2 are in the same orbit of the affine Weyl group $\hat{W}$ on H.*

Thus, the set of conjugacy classes of semisimple elements in $\check{G}_q$ is isomorphic to $H/\hat{W}$.

Let $Q^\vee$ be the dual root lattice of G, spanned (over $\mathbb{Z}$) by the elements $\frac{2\alpha}{\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle}$, $\alpha \in R^+$. Let $A_q(G)$ be the abelian variety $H/q^{Q^\vee}$ (it is isomorphic to the product of r copies of the elliptic curve E_q, where r is the rank of G). There is a natural action of the Weyl group W on $A_q(G)$, and, as we have seen, the moduli space of flat and unitary bundles (=semisimple conjugacy classes in $\check{G}_q$) is identified with $A_q(G)/W$.

Let us now consider semisimple $\hat{G}$-conjugacy classes in $\tilde{G}_q$, i.e. those that project to semisimple LG-conjugacy classes of $\check{G}_q$. Since $\tilde{G}_q$ is a fiber bundle over $\check{G}_q$ with fiber $\mathbb{C}^*$, and conjugation by an element of $\hat{G}$ is an automorphism of this bundle, the set of semisimple $\hat{G}$-conjugacy classes in $\tilde{G}_q$ has to be the total space of a $\mathbb{C}^*$-bundle over the set of semisimple LG-conjugacy classes in $\check{G}_q$. The exact type of this bundle is determined as follows.

Let $\tilde{H}_q$ be the set of all elements in $\tilde{G}_q$ that project to an element of the form $(q, \xi) \in \check{G}_q$, $\xi \in H$. As a complex manifold, it is isomorphic to $H \times \mathbb{C}^*$. The set of semisimple $\hat{G}$-conjugacy classes in $\tilde{G}_q$ is the quotient $\tilde{H}_q/\hat{W}$, where $\hat{W}$ acts as follows:

$$\hat{W} = W \ltimes Q^\vee = \{(w, \beta), w \in W, \beta \in Q^\vee\},$$
$$(w, 1) \circ (q, \xi, u) = (q, w(\xi), u), w \in W,$$
$$(1, \beta) \circ (q, \xi, u) = (q, \xi e^{-2\pi i\beta}, u q^{\frac{1}{2}\langle\beta,\beta\rangle}\beta(\xi^{-1})), \tag{3.3}$$

where $\beta(\xi^{-1})$ denotes the value of β, as a character of H, at the point ξ^{-1}. This shows that the space $\tilde{H}_q/Q^\vee$, as a complex manifold, is isomorphic to the set of nonzero vectors in the total space of a certain holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ over $A_q(G)$, defined by (3.3). This bundle was introduced by Looijenga ([Lo]). It is invariant under the natural action of the Weyl group W.

Now, let V^* be a finite-dimensional representation of $\hat{G}$. Then $V^*[0]$ is naturally a representation of the affine Weyl group $\hat{W}$. In particular, the action in $V^*[0]$ of the subgroup $Q^\vee$ in $\hat{W}$ naturally defines a flat vector bundle over $A_q(G)$ with fiber $V^*[0]$ (the total space of this bundle is $\tilde{H}_q \times V^*[0]/Q^\vee$, where $Q^\vee$ acts diagonally). We will denote it by $\mathcal{B}_V$. Again, it is invariant under a natural action of W, which gives rise to an action of W on its sections.

Further, let $\Psi : \tilde{G}_q \to V^*$ be an equivariant function of degree k. Consider its restriction to $\tilde{H}_q$. By Corollary 3.5, this restriction uniquely determines Ψ. On the other hand, this restriction has to be $\hat{W}$-equivariant, which means that it defines a W-invariant section of the vector bundle $\mathcal{L}^k \otimes \mathcal{B}_V$ (this follows from formulas (3.3)) Thus, we have obtained the following statement.

Proposition 3.7. *Restriction of equivariant functions to $\tilde{H}_q$ defines an embedding of vector spaces $F_k^V(\tilde{G}_q) \to \Gamma^W(\mathcal{L}^k \otimes \mathcal{B}_V)$, where $\Gamma^W(\mathcal{L}^k \otimes \mathcal{B}_V)$ denotes the space of W-invariant global sections of the holomorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{L}^k \otimes \mathcal{B}_V$.*

In particular, this proposition implies that the space of equivariant functions $F_k^V(\tilde{G}_q)$ is finite-dimensional.

Remark. This embedding is not, in general, an isomorphism. It is an isomorphism if and only if V is a trivial representation. Below we will formulate the necessary and sufficient condition for a section of $\mathcal{L}^k \otimes \mathcal{B}_V$ to extend to an equivariant function, i.e. to be in the image of this embedding.

3.3. Proof of Theorem 2.6.

Let $\tilde{H}$ denote the Cartan subgroup of $\tilde{G}$. Elements of $\tilde{H}$ will be denoted as (q, ξ, u), $q, u \in \mathbb{C}^*$ $\xi \in H$ (as before, u represents the central component). By $\tilde{H}_{q_0}$ we denote the set of elements $(q, \xi, u) \in \tilde{H}$ with $q = q_0$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ be the Lie algebra of $\tilde{H}$.

Let $\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{P}_k^+$, $W_{\hat{\lambda}}$ be the stabilizer of $\hat{\lambda}$ in the affine Weyl group, $v \in V^*[0]^{W_{\hat{\lambda}}}$, where $v \in V^*[0]^{W_{\hat{\lambda}}}$ denotes the space of vectors in $V^*[0]$ stable under $W_{\hat{\lambda}}$. Introduce the "orbitsum" $m_{\hat{\lambda}}(v)$ – the theta-function

$$m_{\hat{\lambda}}(v)(q, \xi, u) = \sum_{w \in \hat{W}} e^{2\pi i \langle w^{-1}\hat{\lambda}, x\rangle} wv, x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}, (q, \xi, u) = e^{2\pi i x} \in \tilde{H}. \tag{3.4}$$

Obviously, this function linearly depends on v. Also, observe that $m_{\hat{\lambda}+Nc^*}(v) = q^N m_{\hat{\lambda}}(v)$.

Let $\hat{P}_{k0}^+ = \{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{P}_k^+ : \langle \hat{\lambda}, d\rangle = 0\}$. The following statements are checked directly.

Lemma 3.8. *The restriction of $m_{\hat{\lambda}}(v)$ to $\tilde{H}_q$ is a $\hat{W}$-equivariant function, i.e. it defines a regular W-invariant global section of the vector bundle $\mathcal{L}^k \otimes \mathcal{B}_V$.*

Lemma 3.9. *Let $v_1^{\hat{\lambda}}, ..., v_{s(\hat{\lambda})}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ be a basis of the space $V^*[0]^{W_{\hat{\lambda}}}$. Then the functions $m_{\hat{\lambda}}(v_i)$, $\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{P}_{k0}^+$, $i = 1, ..., s(\hat{\lambda})$, are a basis of the space $\Gamma^W(\mathcal{L}^k \otimes \mathcal{B}_V)$ of $\hat{W}$-equivariant functions on $\tilde{H}_q$. Thus, the space $\Gamma^W(\mathcal{L}^k \otimes \mathcal{B}_V)$ is isomorphic to $\oplus_{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{P}_{k0}^+} V^*[0]^{W_{\hat{\lambda}}}$.*

These statements immediately imply statement (i) of Theorem 2.6, since the set $\hat{P}_k^+$ is empty for $k < 0$.

Let us now prove statements (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 2.6. Assume that $k \geq 0$. Let $\Psi : \tilde{G}_q \to V^*$ be an equivariant function of degree k. Let us restrict this function to $\tilde{H}_q = H \times \mathbb{C}^*$, and express this restriction as a linear combination of orbitsums:

$$\Psi(q, \xi, u) = \sum_{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{P}_{k0}^+} m_{\hat{\lambda}}(v_{\hat{\lambda}})(q, \xi, u), v_{\hat{\lambda}} \in V^*[0]^{W_{\hat{\lambda}}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Now we will deduce a necessary condition for a function $\Psi \in \Gamma^W(\mathcal{L}^k \otimes \mathcal{B}_V)$ to extend to an equivariant function on $\tilde{G}_q$. This condition will later turn out to be also sufficient. It plays the central role in the proof.

Lemma 3.10. *If $\Psi \in \Gamma^W(\mathcal{L}^k \otimes \mathcal{B}_V)$ extends to an equivariant function on $\tilde{G}_q$ then for every positive integer m, every positive root $\alpha \in \hat{R}$ and any root element $F \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $[h, F] = \alpha(h)F$, $h \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ the function $F^m\Psi(q, \xi, u)$ is divisible (in the ring*

of holomorphic functions of ξ, u) by the function $(1-e^{2\pi i\langle\alpha,x\rangle})^m$, where (as before) $x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ is such that $e^{2\pi ix} = (q,\xi,u)$.

Remark. Note that the condition on Ψ in Lemma 3.10 is equivalent to condition (4.10) in [FG] for Chern-Simons states.

Proof. Let y be a variable. Let Ψ be an equivariant function. The equivariance condition tells us the following:

$$e^{-yF}\Psi(e^{yF}e^{2\pi ix}e^{-yF}) = \Psi(e^{2\pi ix}). \tag{3.6}$$

Let $\eta = e^{2\pi i\langle x,\alpha\rangle}$. Then $e^{yF}e^{2\pi ix}e^{-yF} = e^{2\pi ix}e^{y(\eta-1)F}$. Thus

$$e^{\frac{yF}{\eta-1}}\Psi(e^{2\pi ix}) = \Psi(e^{2\pi ix}e^{yF}). \tag{3.7}$$

Comparing the Taylor expansions in powers of y of both sides of (3.7), we get

$$\frac{F^m\Psi(e^{2\pi ix})}{(\eta-1)^m} = L_F^m\Psi(e^{2\pi ix}) \tag{3.8}$$

where L_F denotes the operator of Lie derivative along the left-invariant vector field on $\tilde{G}$ whose value at 1 is $F \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Since the right hand side of identity (3.8) is holomorphic, this identity implies the statement of the Lemma.

Let $U_q \subset \oplus_{\hat{\lambda}\in\hat{P}_{k0}^+} V^*[0]^{W_{\hat{\lambda}}}$ be the subspace of all functions satisfying the property from Lemma 3.10. (Lemma 3.10 states that the restriction of an equivariant function belongs to U_q). The space U_q is given by a system of linear equations expressing divisibility of $F^m\Psi$ by $(1-e^{2\pi i\langle\alpha,x\rangle})^m$. The coefficients of these equations are meromorphic near $q=0$, which implies that it is possible to find a basis of U_q, $B_1(q),...,B_M(q)$, such that $B_i(q)$ are holomorphic in $0<|q|<Q'$ for some $Q'=Q'(V)$ and meromorphic at $q=0$ (the argument in Section 5 of [FG] shows that in fact $Q'(V)=Q(V)$). If $0<|q_0|<Q'$, and Ψ is any equivariant function on $\tilde{G}_{q_0}$ then $\Psi|_{\tilde{H}_{q_0}} = \sum_{j=1}^M C_jB_j(q_0)$. Let us now extend the function Ψ to arbitrary values of q by setting $\Psi|_{\tilde{H}_q} = \sum_{j=1}^M C_jB_j(q)$. Then the function Ψ is holomorphic for $0<|q|<Q'$ and meromorphic at $q=0$. This means that the function Ψ is representable in the form

$$\Psi(q,\xi,u) = \sum_{\hat{\lambda}\in\hat{P}_k^+,\hat{\lambda}\le\hat{\lambda}_0} m_{\hat{\lambda}}(v_{\hat{\lambda}})(q,\xi,u), v_{\hat{\lambda}} \in V^*[0]^{W_{\hat{\lambda}}},$$

for some $\hat{\lambda}_0 \in \hat{P}_k^+$, and this series is convergent for $0<|q|<Q'$ and any ξ, u. Our purpose is to show that this implies that Ψ is a linear combination of traces of intertwiners.

Lemma 3.11. *Assume that*

$$\Psi(q,\xi,u) = \sum_{\hat{\lambda}\in\hat{P}_k^+,\hat{\lambda}\le\hat{\lambda}_0} m_{\hat{\lambda}}(v_{\hat{\lambda}})(q,\xi,u), v_{\hat{\lambda}} \in V^*[0]^{W_{\hat{\lambda}}}, \tag{3.9}$$

and this series is convergent in $0 < |q| < Q'$. Suppose that also $\Psi \in U_q$ for all q such that $0 < |q| < Q'$. Then Ψ is a linear combination of functions of the form (2.1) with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}((q))$ which converge in $0 < |q| < Q'$.

Proof. Let X be the weight diagram of Ψ, i.e. the set of all weights from $\hat{P}$ which occur in the Laurent expansion of Ψ in q, ξ, u, and all lower weights. Clearly, X is $\hat{W}$-invariant. Let X^+ be the set of positive integral weights in X ($X = \hat{W}X^+$) In order to prove the Lemma, it is enough to prove that one can subtract a multiple of a function of the form (2.1) from Ψ so that the weight diagram of the obtained function will be a proper subset of X.

A weight $\hat{\lambda} \in X^+$ is called extremal if for every $\hat{\mu} \in \hat{P}_k^+$ such that $\hat{\mu} > \hat{\lambda}$ one has $\hat{\mu} \notin X$. Clearly, extremal weights exist: X is bounded from above because Ψ is meromorphic in q at $q = 0$.

We write the function Ψ in the form

$$\Psi(q, \xi, u) = \sum_{\hat{\lambda} \in X^+} m_{\hat{\lambda}}(v_{\hat{\lambda}})(q, \xi, u). \tag{3.10}$$

Let $\hat{\lambda} \in X^+$ be an extremal weight. Consider the vector $v_{\hat{\lambda}}$.

Proposition 3.12. *For every positive simple root α_j of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ ($i = 0, ..., r$) one has $E_{\alpha_j}^{\langle \hat{\lambda}, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle + 1} v_{\hat{\lambda}} = 0$, where E_{α_j} are the root elements corresponding to α_j, and $\alpha_j^\vee = \frac{2\alpha_j}{\langle \alpha_j, \alpha_j \rangle}$.*

Proof of Proposition 3.12. We know from Lemma 3.10 that $E_{\alpha_j}^{\langle \hat{\lambda}, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle + 1} \Psi$ is divisible by $(1 - e^{2\pi i \langle \alpha_j, x \rangle})^{\langle \hat{\lambda}, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle + 1}$. This implies that the same is true for the sum S of all terms of the Fourier expansion of $E_{\alpha_j}^{\langle \hat{\lambda}, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle + 1} \Psi$ whose weights lie on the line $\hat{\mu} = \hat{\lambda} + \tau \alpha_j$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. But because of 1) the $\hat{W}$-symmetry, and 2) the extremality of $\hat{\lambda}$, weights of all terms in the sum S have to be between $\hat{\lambda}$ and $s_{\alpha_j}(\hat{\lambda}) = \hat{\lambda} - \langle \hat{\lambda}, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle \alpha_j$ (where s_α is the simple reflection corresponding to the root α). Thus, S has the form $S(x) = e^{2\pi i \langle \hat{\lambda}, x \rangle} S_0(x)$, where $S_0(x)$ is a polynomial in $\eta = e^{-2\pi i \langle \alpha_j, x \rangle}$ of degree $\langle \hat{\lambda}, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle$. But we know that $S_0(x)$ is divisible by $(1 - e^{2\pi i \langle \alpha_j, x \rangle})^{\langle \hat{\lambda}, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle + 1}$. This implies that $S_0(x) = 0$, i.e. $S(x) = 0$, which implies Proposition 3.12. $\square$

We will also need a standard fact from representation theory.

Let $L_{\hat{\lambda}}$ denote the integrable highest weight $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{pol}$-module with highest weight $\hat{\lambda}$. If $\hat{\lambda} = \lambda + kd^* + Nc^*$ ($\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$) then $L_{\hat{\lambda}}$ is isomorphic to $L_{\lambda,k}$ as a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{pol}$-module, but the action of d is defined by the condition that its eigenvalue on the vacuum vector is N.

Proposition 3.13.. *(cf.* [TK]*) Let $v \in V^*[0]$. An intertwining operator for $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{pol}$, $\Phi : L_{\hat{\lambda}} \to \hat{L}_{\hat{\lambda}} \otimes V^*$ with highest matrix coefficient $(vac, \Phi \cdot vac) = v$ (vac denotes the vacuum vector) exists if and only if $E_{\alpha_j}^{\langle \hat{\lambda}, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle + 1} v = 0$, $j = 0, ..., r$. If such an operator exists, it is unique.*

Now let us finish the proof of Lemma 3.11. According to Propositions 3.12, 3.13, for any extremal weight $\hat{\lambda} \in X^+$ there exists an operator $\Phi : L_{\hat{\lambda}} \to \hat{L}_{\hat{\lambda}} \otimes V^*$ with the property $(vac, \Phi vac) = v_{\hat{\lambda}}$. Let us construct from it an equivariant function $\Psi_{\hat{\lambda}}$ using formula (2.1). Now consider the function $\Psi' = \Psi - \Psi_{\hat{\lambda}}$. Let X' be the

weight diagram of Ψ'. Clearly, $X' \subset X$. Moreover, X' is a proper subset in X, since $\hat{\lambda} \notin X'$ (the $\hat{\lambda}$-coefficients in Ψ and $\Psi_{\hat{\lambda}}$ were the same by construction), so they cancelled each other.

Now take any extremal weight in X' and apply the above procedure to it, and so on. Continuing this to infinity, we will obtain an expansion of Ψ in a series of functions of the form (2.1). After we use the fact that $\mathrm{Tr}|_{L_{\hat{\lambda}}}(\Phi(a)q^{-d}g) = q^{-N}\mathrm{Tr}|_{L_{\lambda,k}}(\Phi(a)q^{-d}g)$ if $\hat{\lambda} = \lambda + kd^* + Nc^*$, we obtain a representation of Ψ as a finite linear combination of functions of the form $\mathrm{Tr}|_{L_{\lambda,k}}(\Phi(a)q^{-d}g)$ whose coefficients are Laurent series in q. It is obvious that these series define holomorphic functions of q in $0 < |q| < Q'$ (because Ψ is holomorphic in this region). Lemma 3.11 is proved.

Now we finish the proof of statements (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 2.6. We have shown that any equivariant function is a (finite) linear combination of functions of the form (2.1).

The facts that 1) the subspaces $S(V, L_{\lambda,k})$ are disjoint, and 2) that linearly independent intertwiners Φ define (by (2.1)) linearly independent functions Ψ, follow from the fact that after restriction to the torus these functions are eigenfunctions the parabolic operator (2.5). Indeed, assume that at some special value of q there is a nontrivial linear relation between the functions Ψ. In particular, it holds on $\tilde{H}_q$. But the restriction of Ψ on $\tilde{H}_q$ satisfies the parabolic differential equation: after rescaling by a power of q it is annihilated by operator (2.5). Therefore, using the parabolic equation as a connection, we can transport our linear relation between Ψ's to other values of q. In particular, we can take the limit $q \to 0$. But in this limit it is obvious that any linear relation between Ψ's is trivial, so we get a contradiction.

This implies Theorem 2.6(ii),(iii).

□

3.4. Proof of Theorems 2.8, 2.14.

Proof of Theorem 2.8.

(i) Let us first show admissibility of holomorphic equivariant functions. By Theorem 2.6, every equivariant function is a linear combination of traces of the form (2.1) with coefficients, holomorphically depending on q. Also, it is obvious that if Ψ is an admissible function, and $\phi(q)$ is holomorphic, then $\phi(q)\Psi$ is also admissible (indeed, all terms in the sum (2.2) except $2(c + h^\vee)d$ commute with multiplication by ϕ). Therefore, it is enough to show that all functions of the form (2.1) are admissible. This is done as follows.

We have

(3.11)

$$\Delta_{\tilde{G}}^{(N)}\mathrm{Tr}|_{L_{\lambda,k}}(\Phi(a)q^{-d}g) = \mathrm{Tr}|_{L_{\lambda,k}}(\Phi(a)q^{-d}g(2(c+h^\vee)d + \sum_{a\in B}\sum_{n=-N}^{N} :a[n]a[-n]:)).$$

Therefore, to prove the existence of the limit of (3.11) as $n \to +\infty$, it is enough to check that

$$\mathrm{Tr}|_{L_{\lambda,k}}(\Phi(a)q^{-d}g : a[n]a[-n] :) = o(P^n),\ n \to +\infty, \tag{3.12}$$

for some $P < 1$.

Choose $p \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $1 > |p| > |q|$ and $|p/z_n| < |a| < |1/z_1|$. Set $A = \Phi(a)p^{-d}g_1$, $g_1 = (q/p)^d g (q/p)^{-d} \in \hat{G}$, and $B_n =: a[n]a[-n] : (q/p)^{-d}$. Then

$$AB_n = \Phi(a)q^{-d}g : a[n]a[-n] :$$

We know that A is bounded (Lemma 3.4(ii)). Therefore, to prove (3.12), it is enough to show that $||B_n|| = o(P^n)$. This statement can be checked directly: the proof is based on the fact that the operator B_n kills all homogeneous vectors of degree $> -n$, and the estimate in Lemma 3.2 in [GW1], which shows that the matrix elements of the operator representing an element of $U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{pol})$ in $L_{\lambda,k}$ grow slower than exponentially with degree.

Let now Ψ be a meromorphic equivariant function of degree $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we can write Ψ in the form $\Psi = \Psi_0/\chi$, where Ψ_0 is a holomorphic equivariant function of degree k, and χ is a central function of degree $k - l$ $(k \geq l)$ Applying $\Delta_{\tilde{G}}^{(N)}$ to the ratio Ψ_0/χ, we see that in order to establish the convergence of truncated sums $(\Delta_{\tilde{G}})^{(N)}\Psi$ to some limit, it is enough to show that if Ψ is a holomorphic equivariant function of a positive degree then 1) $L_{a[-n]}\Psi = O(P^n)$, $n \to +\infty$, for some $P < 1$, and 2) $L_{a[n]}\Psi = O(R^n)$, $n \to +\infty$, for every $R > 1$ (here L_x denotes the Lie derivative along the left-invariant vector field on $\tilde{G}$ defined by $x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$). By Theorem 2.6, it is enough to do it for functions of the form (2.1).

From (2.1) we have $L_{a[n]}\Psi = \mathrm{Tr}|_{L_{\lambda,k}}(\Phi(a)q^{-d}ga[n]) = \mathrm{Tr}(AD_n)$, where $A = \Phi(a)p^{-d}g$, $D_n = (q/p)^{-d}a_n$, and p is chosen as above. Further, we have $\mathrm{Tr}(AD_n) = O(||A|| \cdot ||D_n||)$. Thus, it suffices to prove that 1) $||D_{-n}|| = O(P^n)$, $n \to +\infty$, for some $P < 1$, and 2) $||D_n|| = O(R^n)$, $n \to +\infty$, for every $R > 1$. This statement is again checked directly: it follows from Lemma 3.2 in [GW1].

(iv) It follows from the definition of the Laplacian that

$$\Delta_{\tilde{G}}\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi(a)q^{-d}g) = \mathrm{Tr}(\Phi(a)q^{-d}gC), \tag{3.13}$$

where C is the Casimir-Sugawara element. Since $C|_{L_{\lambda,k}} = \langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle$, we get the desired formula.

(ii) Let Ψ be an equivariant function. We must show that so is $\Delta_{\tilde{G}}\Psi$. Let $x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{pol}$. Since $\Delta_{\tilde{G}}$ was constructed from a central element, $[L_x, \Delta_{\tilde{G}}] = 0$. Therefore, $L_x\Delta_{\tilde{G}}\Psi = \Delta_{\tilde{G}}L_x\Psi = \Delta_{\tilde{G}}(\pi_{V^*}(x)\Psi) = \pi_{V^*}(x)\Delta_{\tilde{G}}\Psi$, i.e. $\Delta_{\tilde{G}}\Psi$ is equivariant, Q.E.D.

(iii) is obvious, since the action of $\hat{G}$ on F_k^V by conjugacy coincides with its action on values (by conjugacy invariance), so it commutes with $\Delta_{\tilde{G}}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.14

(i) The proof is analogous to the proof of Statement (i) in Theorem 2.8.

(ii) Let Ψ be an equivariant function. We must show that so is $\Delta_i\Psi$. Let $x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{pol}$. Since Δ_i were constructed from central elements, $[L_x, \Delta_i] = 0$. Therefore, $L_x\Delta_i\Psi = \Delta_i L_x\Psi = \Delta_i(\pi_{V^*}(x)\Psi) = \pi_{V^*}(x)\Delta_i\Psi$, i.e. $\Delta_i\Psi$ is equivariant, Q.E.D.

(iii) follows form the relation $[L_x, \Delta_i] = 0$.

References

[Be] Bernard, D., *On the Wess-Zumino-Witten models on the torus*, Nucl. Phys. **B303** (1988), 77–93.

[BS] Bernstein, I.N., and Schwartzman, O.W., *Chevalley's theorem for complex crystallographic Coxeter groups*, Funct. An. Appl. **12** (1980), 308-310.

[C] Calogero, F., *Solution of the one-dimensional n-body problem with quadratic and/or inversely quadratic pair potentials*, J. Math. Phys. **12** (1971), 419-436.

[CP] Chari, V., and Pressley, A., *New unitary representations of loop groups*, Math.Ann. **275** (1986), 87-104.

[E] Etingof, P.I., *Quantum integrable systems and representations of Lie algebras, hep-th 9311132*, submitted to Journal of Mathematical Physics (1993).

[EF] Etingof, P.I., and Frenkel, I.B., *Central extensions of current groups in two dimensions*, hep-th 9303047, to appear in Comm. Math. Phys. (1993).

[EK1] Etingof, P.I. and Kirillov, A.A., Jr, *Representations of affine Lie algebras, parabolic differential equations and Láme functions*, Duke Math. J. **74** (1994), no. 3, 585-614.

[EK2] Etingof, P.I. and Kirillov, A.A., Jr, *A unified representation-theoretic approach to special functions, hep-th 9312101*, Functional Anal. and its Applic. **28** (1994), no. 1.

[EK3] Etingof, P.I., and Kirillov Jr.,A.A., *On an affine analogue of the Jack's and Macdonald's polynomials*, Submitted to Duke Math. J., hep-th 9403168 (1994).

[EK4] Etingof, P.I. and Kirillov, A.A., Jr, *Macdonald's polynomials and representations of quantum groups*, Math. Res. Let. **1** (1994), no. 3, 279-296.

[ES] Etingof, P., and Styrkas, K., *Algebraic integrability of Schrödinger operators and representations of Lie algebras*, to appear in Composit. Math., hep-th 9403135 (1994).

[FG] Falceto, F., and Gawedzki, K., *Chern-Simons states in genus 1*, Commun. Math. Phys. **159** (1994), no. 3, 471-503.

[FF] Feigin, B.L. and Frenkel, E.V., *Affine Kac-Moody algebras at the critical level and Gelfand-Dikii algebras*, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. A **7** (1992), no. Suppl 1A, 197-215.

[FR] Frenkel, I.B., and Reshetikhin, N.Yu., *Quantum affine algebras and holonomic difference equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **146** (1992), 1-60.

[FZ] Frenkel, I.B., and Zhu, Y., *Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras*, Duke Math. J. **66(1)** (1992), 123-168.

[G] Garland, H., *The arithmetic theory of loop algebras*, J. of Algebra **53** (1978), 480-551.

[GN1] Gorsky, A., and Nekrasov, N., *Relativistic Calogero-Moser Model as Gauged WZW theory*, hep-th 9401017 (1994).

[GN2] Gorsky, A., and Nekrasov, N., *Elliptic Calogero-Moser system from two-dimensional current algebra*, hep-th 9401021 (1994).

[GW1] Goodman, R., and Wallach, N., *Structure of unitary cocycle representations of loop groups and the group of diffeomorphisms of the circle*, J.fur die reine und angew. math. **347** (1984), 69-133.

[GW2] Goodman, R., and Wallach, N., *Higher order Sugawara operators for affine Lie algebras*, Trans. AMS **315** (1989), no. 1.

[H] Hayashi, T., *Sugawara operators and Kac-Kazhdan conjecture*, Inv. Math. **94** (1988), 13-52.

[HC] Harish-Chandra, *Collected papers*, Springer, New York, 1984.

[HO] Heckman, G.J., Opdam, E.M., *Root systems and hypergeometric functions I*, Compos. Math. **64** (1987), 329–352.

[Hec] Heckman, G.J., *Root systems and hypergeometric functions II*, Compos. Math. **64** (1987), 353–373.

[He] Helgason, S., *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.

[K1] Kac, V.G., *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, 3rd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.

[K2] Kac, V.G., *Laplace operators of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and theta functions,*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **81** (1984), 645-647.

[KKS] D.Kazhdan, B.Kostant, and S.Sternberg,, *Hamiltonian group actions and dynamical systems of Calogero type*, Comm. Pure and Appl.Math. **31** (1978), 481-507.

[Lo] Looijenga, E., *Root systems and elliptic curves*, Inv. Math. **33** (1976), 17–32.

[M] Macdonald, I.G., *A new class of symmetric functions*, Publ. I.R.M.A. Strasbourg, 372/S-20, Actes 20 Séminaire Lotharingien (1988), 131-171.

[Ma] Malikov, F.G., *Special vectors in Verma modules over affine Lie algebras*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **23(1)** (1989), 66-67.

[MS] Moore, G., Seiberg, N., *Classical and quantum conformal field theory*, Com. Math. Phys. **123** (1989), 177–254.

[N] Noumi, M., *Macdonald's symmetric polynomials as zonal spherical functions on some quantum homogeneous spaces*, preprint, Dept. of Math. Sciences, Univ. of Tokyo, Japan, Oct. 1993, (to appear in Adv. in Math.).

[NS] Narasimhan, M.S., and Seshadri, C.S., *Stable and unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface*, Ann.Math. **82** (1965), 540-567.

[OP] Olshanetsky, M.A., and Perelomov, A.M., *Quantum integrable systems related to Lie algebras*, Phys. Rep. **94** (1983), 313-404.

[O1] Opdam, E.M., *Root systems and hypergeometric functions III*, Compos. Math. **67** (1988), 21–49.

[O2] Opdam, E.M., *Root systems and hypergeometric functions IV*, Compos. Math. **67** (1988), 191–207.

[PS] Pressley, A., and Segal, G., *Loop groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986.

[R] Ramanathan, A., *Stable principal bundles on a compact Riemann surface*, Math.Ann. **213** (1975), 129-152.

[Se] Segal, G., *Conformal field theory*, Proceedings of the International conference on Mathematical Physics, Swansea (1988).

[S] Sutherland, B., *Exact results for a quantum many-body problem in one dimension*, Phys. Rev. A5 (1972), 1372-1376.

[TK] Tsuchiya, A., Kanie, Y., *Vertex operators in conformal field theory on P^1 and monodromy representations of braid group*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **16** (1988), 297-372.

[W] Warner, G., *Harmonic analysis on semi-simple Lie groups*, Springer-Verlag, 1972.

[WW] Whittaker, E.T., Watson, G.N., *Course of modern analysis, 4th edition*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1958.